



State Investment Board

RETIREMENT & INVESTMENT

Governance and Policy Review Committee Meeting

Wednesday, March 5, 2025, 3:00 p.m.

Virtual Only

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER AND ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA (*Committee Action*)
- II. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES (Nov. 13, 2024 & Jan. 14, 2025) (*Committee Action*)
- III. LEGISLATIVE SESSION UPDATE (10 min) (*Information*) – Ms. Smith
- IV. STRATEGIC PLAN UPDATE (20 min) (*Information*) – Ms. Smith
- V. SIB BOARD EDUCATION (10 min) (*Information*) – Ms. Smith
- VI. SIB GOVERNANCE MANUAL REVIEW (20 min) (*Information*) – Ms. Smith
- VII. OTHER

Next Meeting: April 8, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

**STATE INVESTMENT BOARD
GOVERNANCE & POLICY REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES OF THE NOVEMBER 13, 2024, MEETING (VIRTUAL)**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dr. Rob Lech, TFFR Board, Chair
Thomas Beadle, State Treasurer, Vice Chair

MEMBER ABSENT: Susan Sisk, OMB Director

STAFF PRESENT: Scott Anderson, CIO
Missy Kopp, Exec Assistant
Sarah Mudder, Communications/Outreach Dir.
Jan Murtha, Exec. Director
Ryan Skor, CFO/COO

CALL TO ORDER:

Dr. Lech called the State Investment Board (SIB) Governance and Policy Review (GPR) Committee meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. on Wednesday, November 13, 2024. The meeting was held virtually.

AGENDA:

The agenda was considered for the November 13, 2024, meeting.

IT WAS MOVED BY TREASURER BEADLE AND SECONDED BY DR. LECH AND CARRIED BY A VOICE VOTE TO APPROVE THE AGENDA FOR THE NOVEMBER 13, 2024, MEETING AS DISTRIBUTED.

AYES: TREASURER BEADLE AND DR. LECH

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MS. SISK

MOTION CARRIED

MINUTES:

The minutes were considered for the October 21, 2024, meeting.

IT WAS MOVED BY TREASURER BEADLE AND SECONDED BY DR. LECH AND CARRIED BY A VOICE VOTE TO APPROVE THE OCTOBER 13, 2024, MINUTES AS DISTRIBUTED.

AYES: TREASURER BEADLE AND DR. LECH

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: MS. SISK

MOTION CARRIED

2025 LEGISLATIVE SESSION PLANNING:

Ms. Murtha provided an update on preparation for the upcoming legislative session. A meeting has been scheduled with staff from OMB, NDIT, and the Land Commissioner to discuss the procurement statute bill. Ms. Murtha met with other state agencies about the investment data bill. The Committee discussed the bill and concurred that staff should work with a legislator to introduce the bill.

OTHER:

With no further business to come before the GPR Committee, Dr. Lech adjourned the meeting at 10:15 a.m.

Prepared by:

Missy Kopp, Assistant to the Board

**STATE INVESTMENT BOARD
GOVERNANCE & POLICY REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 14, 2025, MEETING (VIRTUAL)**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dr. Rob Lech, TFFR Board, Chair
Thomas Beadle, State Treasurer, Vice Chair

STAFF PRESENT: Scott Anderson, CIO
Missy Kopp, Exec Assistant
Rachel Kmetz, Interim CFO/COO
Chad Roberts, DED/CRO
Sara Seiler, Supervisor of Internal Audit
Jodi Smith, Interim Executive Director

CALL TO ORDER:

Dr. Lech called the State Investment Board (SIB) Governance and Policy Review (GPR) Committee meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, January 14, 2025. The meeting was held virtually.

AGENDA:

The agenda was considered for the January 14, 2025, meeting.

IT WAS MOVED BY TREASURER BEADLE AND SECONDED BY DR. LECH AND CARRIED BY A VOICE VOTE TO APPROVE THE AGENDA FOR THE JANUARY 14, 2025, MEETING AS DISTRIBUTED.

AYES: TREASURER BEADLE AND DR. LECH

NAYS: NONE

MOTION CARRIED

INTERNAL INVESTMENT POLICIES:

The Committee was provided with a newly created Investment Code of Ethics and a minor change to the existing Proxy Voting policy. Mr. Anderson reviewed Code of Ethics which was developed with the compliance consultant and reflects best practice. Mr. Anderson reviewed the purpose of the code of ethics and provided an overview of each section of the policy. A minor change was recommended to the proxy voting policy to define manager to specify that proxy voting applies to both external and internal managers. Both policies were developed as part of the internal investment initiative which is expected to launch in March of 2025. Committee discussion followed.

IT WAS MOVED BY TREASURER BEADLE AND SECONDED BY DR. LECH AND CARRIED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE TO RECOMMEND THE NEW INVESTMENT CODE OF ETHICS POLICY AND THE AMENDMENT TO THE PROXY VOTING POLICY TO THE SIB FOR APPROVAL.

AYES: TREASURER BEADLE AND DR. LECH

NAYS: NONE

MOTION CARRIED

LEGISLATIVE SESSION UPDATE & REVIEW:

Ms. Smith provided an update on bills that RIO staff are tracking and testimony that has been provided. The current bill tracker was provided to the Committee. Committee discussion followed.

OTHER:

With no further business to come before the GPR Committee, Dr. Lech adjourned the meeting at 1:53 p.m.

Prepared by:

Missy Kopp, Assistant to the Board

2025-2027 Legislative Session RIO Bill Tracker

Bill #	Topic	Description	Sponsor	Hearing Date	Committee	Status	Position
HB 1022	Budget bill	RIO's Budget bill	Appropriations Committee	03/06, 8:30 a.m.	Senate Appro - Human Resources	Passed in House, 73-16. Senate rec'd, referred to Appro.	Support
HB 1117	Retirement	TFFR Required Minimum Distribution	TFFR via Gov't Vets Affairs	03/06, 9:00 a.m.	Senate State & Local Gov	Passed House, 87-0. Senate rec'd, referred to State & Local Government.	Support
SB 2072	Procurement	Red tape reduction relating to the contracts limiting liability to the state (the issue being we can't accept many low-cost, web-based application's terms and conditions due to state law).	Senate State and Local Gov'n't at request of OMB.	03/06, 2:15 p.m.	House GVA	Passed Senate, 46-0. House rec'd, referred to GVA.	Support
SB 2097	Investments	Creation of a Rural Community Endowment Fund and including under 21-10.	Sens. Mathern & Wanzek, Rep. Brandenburg	03/07, 9:00 a.m.	House Political Subdivisions.	Passed Senate, 31-16. House rec'd, referred to Political Subdivisions.	Neutral
HB 1015	Budget bill	OMB Budget bill	Appropriations Committee	n/a	n/a	Passed in House, 83-6. Senate rec'd.	Monitor
HB 1026	Investment	Moving State Bonding Fund from Insurance to OMB	Legislative Management	n/a	Senate Industry & Business	Passed House, 89-0. Senate rec'd, referred to Industry & Business.	Neutral
HB 1027	Investment	Moving Fire & Tornado Fund from Insurance to OMB.	Legislative Management	n/a	Senate Industry & Business	Passed House, 87-5. Senate rec'd, referred to Industry and Business	Neutral
HB 1163	Investment	Establishes a \$1 billion school construction loan fund as part of the state Legacy Fund. Limits loan interest rates to 1 percent annually and 20 years duration. Loans limited to \$10 million total or \$10 million per thousand students in the school district, whichever is higher.	Representatives Jonas, Longmuir, Murphy, Richter, Schreiber-Beck, Warrey	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 5-84.	Neutral
HB 1176	Investments	Authorizes the Legacy Fund to provide enough earnings to pay for a residential property tax credit of up to \$2,000 annually per homeowner.	Rep. Nathe, Sen. Bekkedahl, Rep. Hagert, Rep. Headland, Sen. Hogue, Rep. Lefor, Rep. Porter, Sen. Rummel, Rep. Stemen, Rep. Swiontek, Rep. Vigesaa, Sen. Weber	n/a	n/a	Passed in House, 81-10. Senate rec'd.	Neutral
HB 1184	Investment	Relating to digital asset and precious metal investments.	Rep. Toman, Christy, Heilman, Hendrix, D. Johnston, S. Olson, Porter and Senators Barta, Cory, Meyer, Paulson	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 32-57.	Neutral
HB 1285	Retirement	Transfer of \$49.2M from the General Fund to the TFFR to provide a one-time supplemental payment to recipients.	Rep. Schatz, Rep. Jonas, Rep. Mitskog, Rep. Schreiber-Beck, Rep. Monson	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 3-88.	Neutral

HB 1306	Budget bill	Fees for open records requests	Rep. Kasper, Rep. Henderson, Rep. Kempenich, Sen. Magrum, Rep. S. Olson, Sen. Paulson, Rep. D. Ruby	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 15-75.	Monitor
HB 1309	Investments	Relating to state contracts with certain companies that boycott energy, mining, and production agriculture.	Rep. Heilman, Sen. Enget, Rep. Marschall, Rep. Novak, Rep. M. Ruby, Sen. Walen	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 12-76.	Oppose
HB 1319	Investments	Relating to a Legacy Fund disclosure website	Rep. Satrom, Sen. Conley, Rep. Ostlie	n/a	Senate Industry & Business	Passed in House, 92-1-1. Senate rec'd, referred to Industry & Business.	Support
HB 1330	Investments	Relating to divestment from legacy fund investments in Chinese companies.	Rep. Satrom, Sen. Conley, Rep. Grueneich, Sen. Lemm, Rep. Ostlie	n/a	Senate Industry & Business	Passed in House, 75-7. Senate rec'd, referred to Industry & Business.	Oppose
HB 1348	Investments	Repeal RIO's removal of the fiscal and investment FTE's from OMB's classified system	Rep. Ostlie, Rep. Beltz, Sen. Conley, Sen. Cory, Rep. Satrom, Sen. Weber	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 1-90.	Oppose
HB 1435	Investments	Legacy fund definition and legacy earnings fund	Rep. Kempenich and Warrey, Sen. Bekkadahl, Kessel and Meyer	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 4-87.	Neutral
HB 1453	Investments	Relating to prohibiting natural asset companies; and to provide a penalty.	Rep Hauck, Fisher, Hagert, Morton, Novak, Dressler and Sen Gerhardt, Kessel, Luick, Thomas	n/a	House Agriculture	Failed in House, 45-48.	Neutral
HB 1510	Retirement	Requires BND, TFFR, and a teacher support program coordinator to gather information from school districts about their teacher pay and benefits.	Rep. Schreiber-Beck, Rep. Conmy, Sen. Davison, Rep. Hager, Rep. Hanson, Sen. Hogan, Rep. Longmuir, Rep. McLeod, Rep. Novak, Rep. Richter, Sen. Rummel, Rep. Swiontek	n/a	n/a	Passed in House, 82-11. Senate rec'd.	Monitor
HB 1553	Investments	Appropriates \$140 million from the Legacy Fund to provide school meals at no charge for students in public and nonpublic schools.	Rep. Hager, Rep. Brown, Rep. Christy, Sen. Hogan, Rep. Holle, Rep. Longmuir, Sen. Marcellais, Rep. Mitskog, Rep. Novak, Rep. Schneider, Rep. Schreiber-Beck	n/a	n/a	Failed in House, 14-75.	Monitor
HB 1580	Budget bill	Requests an interim study of the compensation system for classified state employees, including comparisons to private sector compensation and employee retention and equity bonuses.	Rep. Vetter, Rep. Christianson, Sen. Cleary, Sen. Cory, Rep. Dockter, Rep. D. Johnston, Rep. Meier, Rep. Motschenbacher	n/a	Senate State & Local Gov	Passed House, 72-18. Senate rec'd, referred to State & Local Govn't.	Monitor
HCR 3001	Investment	Encouraging the Treasurer and SIB to invest a portion of General Fund/Budget Stabilization/ Legacy in digital assets and precious metals.	Reps. Toman, Heilman, Hendrix, D. Johnston, S. Olson, Porter, and Sens. Barta, Paulson	n/a	Senate Industry & Business	Passed House, 83-5. Senate rec'd, referred to Industry & Business.	Neutral
SB 2134	Retirement	Calls for a change in language to allow the Superintendent and Treasurer to appoint a designee to the TFFR Board	Senator Roers	n/a	House Political Subdivisions.	Passed Senate, 47- 0. House rec'd, referred to Political Subdivisions.	Support
SB 2151	Investments	Relating to a county and township bridge fund and a legacy earnings tax relief fund.	Sen. Thomas, Rep. Brandenburg, Rep. Monson, Sen. Myrdal, Sen. Wanzek, Sen. Weber	n/a	n/a	Failed in Senate, 1-46.	Neutral

SB 2332	Investments	Creates an biennial transfer of \$25M from the legacy earnings fund to the emergency services fund	Sen, Boshee, Rep, Vandenberg, Rep. Mitskog, Rep. Nelson	n/a	House Human Services	Passed Senate, 47-0. House rec'd, referred to House Human Services.	Neutral
-------------------------	-------------	--	---	-----	----------------------	---	---------

A bison is shown in profile, facing left, standing in a field. The background is a blurred landscape with a blue tint, suggesting a sunset or sunrise. The bison's fur is dark brown with a lighter patch on its chest. The overall scene is serene and natural.

STRATEGY REVIEW

Retirement & Investment Office

Jodi Smith – Interim Executive Director
March 2025

NORTH
Dakota
Be Legendary.

The background of the slide is a composite image. The top half shows a dark night sky with the Milky Way galaxy visible as a bright, hazy band of stars. The bottom half shows a rocky, desert-like landscape at night, with the rocks and some sparse vegetation illuminated by warm, golden-yellow lights, possibly from a campfire or streetlights. A white horizontal band runs across the middle of the image, containing the text.

AGENCY VISION STATEMENT

*To be recognized as a trusted and innovative provider
of investment and pension services.*




AGENCY PRIORITIES

Outreach – *Implementing a communication strategy with our stakeholders and the public that supports education and transparency.*

Organizational Culture – *Recognizing that talent management, a growth mindset, and a nible workforce supports agency success.*

Technology - *Leveraging technology enabled processes and infrastructure to enhance organizational efficiency and support quality fiscal and risk management practices.*



2023

STRATEGIC GOALS

Strategic Goals	Action
Public/Private partnership & Intern development to support agency resource needs & talent development	RIO has had five interns since 2023; one investment, one legal, and three accounting.
Governance to support growth – 2 standing committees for SIB, 1 revised committee for SIB, 1 new standing committee for TFFR and proposal to adjust SIB composition	SIB Executive Review Committee revised to Executive Review & Compensation Committee; SIB Governance & Policy Review Committee created; SIB Investment Committee created; SIB Composition thru 68th Legislative Session; and TFFR Governance & Policy Review Committee created.
Internal Investment Proposal	Internal Investment Initiative Go-Live was March 10, 2025.
Communication plan for internal & external partners & stakeholders	Annual strategic communications plan was approved in November 2023 and quarterly updates provided. Updated communications plan scheduled for approval July 2025.
Enhancements to talent management plan	Relative to the Internal Investment Initiative, the organizational chart has been developed to create talent management.
Programs facilitate through education & outreach feedback loop to inform public policy. Recognized leaders in the field and a model for other states.	Improved stakeholder engagement and informs public policy through a schedule of regular TFFR and SIB communications, media partnerships, outreach events, expanded social media, agency branding and by establishing communication standards—striving to serve as a model for other states.
Investment Data Management Project	Project will begin after Internal Investment Go-Live.
TFFR PAS Project Implementation	TFFR PAS Go-Live was February 10, 2025.

2024 BIG HAIRY AUDACIOUS GOALS UPDATE

LOOKING AHEAD 5 TO 10 YEARS

Big Hairy Audacious Goals

ND Cash Management Practices – Leveraging opportunities across agencies.

Internal Investment Initiative Expansion – Building on the business case to optimize benefits of scale.

Benefits Administration Optimization – Leveraging opportunities across agencies through unification.

Financial/Retirement Literacy Initiative – Educating for teacher retention and recruitment success.

Industry Leader Initiative – Innovation in operations to excel in education, technology, and talent pool.

2024

STRATEGIC GOALS

Strategic Goals	Actions
ND Cash Management Practices – Implementing Cash Management Study Recommendations.	RIO participated in North Dakota Office of Management and Budget Treasury and Cash Management Study. Further discussion is tabled.
Internal Direct Investment Initiative 2.0 – Building on the business case to optimize benefits of scale.	RIO is launching internal investments March 10, 2025, and will seek expansion during 70th Legislative Session.
Benefits Administration Optimization – Interim Legislative Study during the 2025-2027 session.	No legislative study was sought. Further discussion is tabled.
Financial/Retirement Literacy Initiative – Educating for teacher recruitment and retention success.	SIB and TFFR board member, Dr. Rob Lech, participated in the ND Teacher Retention and Recruitment Task Force. Seeking funding for teacher education in HB 1022.
Industry Leader Initiative – Innovation in operations to excel in education, technology, and talent pool.	Go-live of PAS technology system on Feb. 10, 2025

2025 STRATEGIC GOALS

2025 Strategic Goals

Industry Leader Initiative – Innovation in operations to excel in education, technology, and talent pool.

- **Recruitment and Onboarding of Executive Director and CFO**
- **Transition from network folders and Teams to SharePoint**
- **Implementation of investment operations compliance plan**

Succession and Business Continuity Planning

- **Create Succession Plans for Executive Director, Deputy Executive Director, CIO, and CFO/COO**

Alignment thru Continuous Improvement

- **Identify pockets of innovation across the organization during regular check-ins. Small, compounding changes and innovations add up to outsized results over time.**

Strategic Implementation of New Statutes

- **HB 1330 – Divestment from Direct Chinese Investments**
- **HB 1319 – Creation of Legacy Fund Transparency Website**

The background of the slide is a composite image. The top half shows a clear night sky with the Milky Way galaxy visible as a bright, hazy band of stars. The bottom half shows a rocky, desert-like landscape with a large rock formation in the foreground, illuminated by a warm, golden light, possibly from a low sun or moon. A wire fence is visible in the lower foreground.

CONCLUSION

The flawed notion that progress happens in leaps and bounds is an organizational curse. Real progress starts and ends with teams committed to taking one step at a time together.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Governance and Policy Review Committee Meeting
FROM: Jodi Smith, Interim Executive Director
DATE: March 5, 2025
RE: SIB Board Education

In 2023, the SIB Governance & Policy Review (GPR) Committee outlined parameters of a new Board member onboarding program that allowed for in-person and remote trainings that were self-paced and spanned the first year of SIB membership. Development of the new program recognized that Board membership has and will continue to change on a more frequent basis especially with the changes to the SIB member composition resulting from HB 1088 and the new onboarding process must reflect the various tenures and investment experience of board members.

After reviewing the pre-recorded videos that are provided to new board members it was determined that several videos are outdated, and some are challenging to follow.

The Communications & Outreach Director and the Interim Executive Director are proposing the following board pre-recorded videos be completed and added to the RIO YouTube Channel:

1. Agency Overview
2. Fiscal 101
3. Investment 101
4. Asset Allocation
5. Manager Selection Process
6. Risk Management
7. Internal Audit
8. Open Meeting and Open Records (completed)
9. Fiduciary Duties & Prudent Investor Rule (completed)
10. Teacher's Fund for Retirement

This recommendation is in line with the proposed on-boarding program as approved in May 2023.

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED: Acceptance of new board member onboarding materials recommendation.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Governance and Policy Review Committee Meeting
FROM: Jodi Smith, Interim Executive Director
DATE: March 5, 2025
RE: SIB Governance Manual Review Project

In September 2022, the SIB Governance & Policy Review (GPR) Committee discussed and agreed that staff should proceed with amending the Governance Manual to include a “some delegation” model including the opportunity for more agility to respond to a fast-changing environment, stability from governance layers, independence of oversight and policy from decision making and execution, and better ends achievement at a lower cost. In October 2022, the GPR Committee approved making only necessary changes to the Governance Manual and undergoing a complete re-write during the summer of 2023.

In August 2023, the GPR Committee approved a workplan to review the Governance Manual sections over the course of the fiscal year with a final approval of the manual at the May 2024 board meeting. The update completed by the GPR Committee reviewed the document section by section but did not complete a major reform.

The GPR needs to determine if a review of the Governance Manual is sufficient or if a major reform of the Governance Manual is necessary.

State Investment Board Program Manual



1600 East Century Avenue, Suite 3
PO Box 7100
Bismarck, ND 58507-7100

(701) 328-9885 | (800) 952-2970 | rio@nd.gov

Section I: Executive Limitations	4
A. General Executive Constraint	4
B. Staff Relations	5
C. Relating to Public and Government	5
D. Budgeting	6
E. Financial Condition	6
F. Communication and Counsel to the Board	7
G. Asset Protection	8
H. Compensation and Benefits	8
I. Conflict of Interest	9
J. Unrelated Business Interests	9
K. Code of Conduct	10
Section I Exhibits	11
1. Conflict-of-Interest Annual Affirmation – Executive Director	11
2. Conflict-of-Interest Annual Affirmation – Staff	12
Section II: Governance Process	13
A. Governance Commitment	13
B. Governing Style	13
C. Board Job Description	15
D. Chairperson’s Role	15
E. Board Committee Principles	16
F. Standing Committees	17
G. Annual Board Planning Cycle	21
H. Board Members’ Code of Conduct	22
I. Administration of Fiduciary Authority	24
J. Policy Introduction/Amendment/Passage	24
Section II Exhibits	26
1. Annual Affirmation of Code of Conduct Policy	26
2. Committee Charters	27
Section III: Board-Staff Relationship	40
A. Chief Executive Role	40
B. Delegation to the Executive Director	40

C. Executive Director Job Description	41
D. Monitoring Executive Performance	41
Section IV: Ends	43
A. Mission.....	43
B. Organizational Beneficiaries.....	43
C. Investment Services	43
D. Retirement Services	44
E. Customer Satisfaction	44
F. Fiscal Services	45
G. Internal Audit Services	45
Section IV Exhibits	46
1. Governance Policy Monitoring Summary	46
2. Contracts Matrix	47
Section V: Investments	49
A. Fiduciary Duties	49
B. Investment Process	50
C. Key Program Entities and Responsibilities	52
D. Investment Policy Development – Trust Funds	56
E. Investment Policy Development – Investment Pools.....	58
F. Monitoring.....	59
G. Proxy Voting.....	60
H. Implementation – Investment Manager Selection	61
I. Implementation – Portfolio Rebalancing	63
J. Evaluation	64
K. Performance Related Investment Manager Review	66
L. Bank of North Dakota Match Loan Program	67
M. Accepting New Clients	67
N. Securities Monitoring and Litigation.....	68
Section V Exhibits	74
1. Delegation Matrix.....	74
2. Incentive Compensation Program Policy	75
Section VI: TFFR Program	82
Section VIII: By-Laws.....	83

Section I: Executive Limitations

A. General Executive Constraint

The executive director shall not knowingly cause or allow any practice, activity, decision, or organizational circumstance which is either imprudent or in violation of commonly accepted business and professional ethics, state law, rules, and policies.

1. With respect to treatment of staff, the executive director shall not knowingly cause or allow any condition or any communication which is contrary to the agency core values of integrity, accountability, and service.
2. In relating to the public and other governmental entities, the executive director may not knowingly cause or allow any action which is contrary to the agency core values of integrity, accountability, and service. In addition, the executive director may not allow any communications from the staff which are inaccurate or fail to distinguish between fact and personal opinion.
3. Budgeting for any fiscal year or the remaining part of any fiscal year shall not knowingly deviate materially from board *Ends* priorities, or create fiscal jeopardy, or fail to be derived from the biennial planning calendar.
4. With respect to the actual, ongoing condition of the organization's financial health, the executive director may not cause or allow the development of fiscal jeopardy or a material deviation of actual expenditures from board priorities established in *Ends* policies.
5. With respect to providing information and counsel to the board, the executive director may not permit the board to be uninformed.
6. The executive director may not allow assets to be unprotected, inadequately maintained, nor unnecessarily risked.
7. Compensation and benefits for staff shall not deviate from applicable state and federal law.
8. In order to protect the board from sudden loss of executive services, the executive director may not have fewer than three other executives familiar with board and chief executive issues and processes. The executive director shall not fail to inform the deputy executive director-chief retirement officer, the chief investment officer, and the chief financial/chief operating officer of executive and board issues and processes.

9. The executive director will not allow a conflict of interest in the procurement of goods and services.
10. The executive director will not operate the office without a code of conduct and conflict of interest policy for all RIO Employees. This code of conduct will be a part of the office Administrative Policy Manual.

Policy Implemented: July 23, 1995.

Amended: January 22, 1999; November 19, 1999; September 26, 2014, May 17, 2024.

B. Staff Relations

With respect to treatment of staff, the executive director shall not cause or allow any condition or any communication which is unfair, undignified, or disrespectful.

Accordingly, the executive director may not:

1. Operate without personnel procedures which clarify personnel rules for staff, provide for effective handling of grievances, and protect against wrongful employment conditions contrary to any state or federal law.
2. Fail to provide a policy and process that allows staff the opportunity to complete an employment termination questionnaire and a voluntary exit interview with the Supervisor of Audit Services absent extenuating circumstances.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: May 31, 1996; September 26, 2014, May 17, 2024.

C. Relating to Public and Government

In relating to the public and other governmental entities, the executive director may not cause or allow any action which is contrary to the agency core values of integrity, accountability and service. In addition, the executive director may not allow any communications from the staff which is inaccurate or fails to distinguish between fact and personal opinion.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: May 17, 2024.

D. Budgeting

Budgeting for any fiscal year or the remaining part of any fiscal year shall not deviate materially from board *Ends* priorities or create fiscal jeopardy.

Accordingly, the executive director may not cause or allow budgeting which:

1. Contains too little information to enable credible projection of expenses, cash flow, and disclosure of planning assumptions.
2. Plans the expenditure in any fiscal year of more funds than are authorized by legislative appropriation and continuing appropriation authority.
3. Reduces the level of service, or anticipates a reduction in the level of service, of any Retirement and Investment Office program without the prior approval of the State Investment Board.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: November 2, 1997; June 26, 1998, May 17, 2024.

E. Financial Condition

With respect to the actual, ongoing condition of the organization's financial health, the executive director may not cause or allow the development of fiscal jeopardy or a material deviation of actual expenditures from board priorities established in *Ends* policies.

Accordingly, the executive director may not:

1. Make any expenditure that exceeds the appropriation authority authorized by the North Dakota legislature or exceeds the parameters for expenditures authorized by continuing appropriation authority authorized in statute and within the guidelines set forth in the *Ends* policies.
2. Create policies for payment of administrative obligations that are in conflict with the policies of the Office of Management and Budget.
3. Initiate a transfer of appropriation authority between budget line items without board approval and Emergency Commission approval as required by that body.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: September 26, 2014, May 17, 2024.

F. Communication and Counsel to the Board

With respect to providing information and counsel to the board, the executive director may not permit the board to be uninformed.

Accordingly, the executive director may not:

1. Neglect to provide monitoring data required by the board and Ends policies (see policy C-4 on Monitoring Executive Performance) in a timely, accurate, and understandable fashion, directly addressing provisions of the board policies being monitored.
2. Let the board be unaware of relevant trends, anticipated adverse media coverage, material external and internal changes, and particularly changes in the assumptions upon which any board policy has previously been established.
3. Fail to advise the board chair and governance and policy review committee if, in the executive director's opinion, the board is not in compliance with its own policies on Governance Process and Board-Staff Relationship, particularly in the case of board behavior which is detrimental to the work relationship between the board and the executive director, and executive director and staff.
4. Fail to provide the board -with varying staff and external points of view on issues and options as prudent for fully informed board choices.
5. Present information in unnecessarily complex or lengthy form.
6. Fail to provide a mechanism for official board, officer, or committee communications.
7. Fail to deal with the board as a whole except when (a) fulfilling individual requests for information or (b) responding to officers or committees duly charged by the board (c) as otherwise delegated in accordance with board policies.
8. Fail to report in a timely manner an actual or anticipated noncompliance with any policy of the board.
9. Fail to inform the board chair in a timely manner of any intention to dismiss or fail to notify the full board of the resignation or hiring of the deputy executive director-chief retirement officer, the chief investment officer, or the chief financial officer/ chief operating officer.
10. Fail to keep the board informed concerning the delegation of fiduciary authority to any staff member in compliance with the Ends policies. Every person to whom such fiduciary responsibility is delegated is ultimately accountable to the board as to the exercise and execution of the delegated authority.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995; November 19, 1999.

Amended: September 26, 2014, May 17, 2024.

G. Asset Protection

The executive director may not allow assets to be unprotected, inadequately maintained, nor unnecessarily risked. Accordingly, the executive director may not:

1. Fail to implement policies that insure against theft and casualty losses to a level and in a manner appropriate to an institutional investor as set for in Investment Ends policy. and against liability losses to board members, staff, or the organization itself in compliance with the State of North Dakota Risk Management guidelines.
2. Allow individuals not covered by an employment or contractual fiduciary relationship with the agency or board access to funds.
3. Subject property and equipment to improper wear and tear or insufficient maintenance.
4. Unnecessarily expose the organization, its board, or staff to claims of liability.
5. Fail to protect intellectual property, information, and files from loss or significant damage in a manner consistent with the process and standard of care required of North Dakota state entities.
6. Receive, process, or disburse funds under controls which are insufficient to meet the state auditor's standards.
7. Invest or hold operating capital in a manner that is inconsistent with state and federal law or board policy.
8. Endanger the organization's public image or credibility, particularly in ways that would hinder its accomplishment of mission.
9. Deviate from the investment process set by the State Investment Board (SIB) as contained in the board's policy on investments.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995, May 17, 2024.

H. Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits, or employment terms for staff shall not deviate from applicable state and federal law.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: January 22, 1999; November 19, 1999, May 17, 2024.

I. Conflict of Interest

Conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety shall be avoided by the executive director. The executive director must not allow family, social, professional, or other relationships to influence their judgment in discharging their responsibilities. The executive director must refrain from financial and business dealings that tend to reflect adversely on their duties. If a conflict of interest unavoidably arises, the executive director shall immediately disclose the conflict to the chair and the supervisor of internal audit and follow any subsequent recommendations of the state investment board audit committee. Conflicts of interest to be avoided include but are not limited to: receiving consideration for advice given to a person concerning any matter over which the executive director has any direct or indirect control, acting as an agent or attorney for a person in a transaction involving the board, and participation in any transaction for which the executive director has acquired information unavailable to the general public, through their position.

The executive director shall not fail to establish policies and procedures for the disclosure of and affirmation of understanding of conflicts of interests by staff.

"Conflict of Interest" means a situation in which a board member or staff member has a conflict of interest as that term is defined in North Dakota statute and rules promulgated by the North Dakota Ethics Commission under N.D.A.C. Chapter 115-04-01.

The executive director will be required to affirm their understanding of this policy, and require the affirmation of staff annually, in writing, and must disclose any conflicts of interest that may arise (See Exhibit A-I (Executive Director)).

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: January 22, 1999; February 25, 2011, May 17, 2024.

J. Unrelated Business Interests

The Executive Director will not allow a situation to exist that presents a conflict of interest to the SIB investment program in the pursuit of personal business interests, or the personal business interests of staff members, nor shall such activity be in violation of RIO Administrative Policy. If the executive director becomes aware of a situation contrary to this policy that is outside the authority and control of the executive director to address, the executive director shall notify the chair.

Policy Implemented: August 18, 2000, May 17, 2024.

K. Code of Conduct

The executive director will not operate the office without a code of conduct for all RIO employees. This code of conduct shall be a part of the office Administrative Policy Manual.

Policy Implemented: June 27, 1997.

Section I Exhibits

1. Conflict-of-Interest Annual Affirmation – Executive Director

Memorandum

To: RIO Executive Director

From: RIO Compliance Officer

Date:

RE: Annual Affirmation of Conflict-of-Interest Policy

Executive Limitations Policy A. I, Conflict of Interest, which is attached to this memorandum, details the conflict-of-interest policy for the executive director. This policy also indicates that the executive director is required to reaffirm their understanding of this policy annually and disclose any conflicts of interest. Therefore, please read and sign the statement below to comply with this requirement.

“I have read and understand SIB Executive Limitations Policy A-9, Conflict of Interest. I have disclosed any conflicts of interest as required by this policy.”

Name (printed): _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Detail of any conflicts of interest (if any):

2. Conflict-of-Interest Annual Affirmation – Staff

Memorandum

To: RIO Executive Director

From: Staff Member

Date:

RE: Annual Affirmation of Conflict-of-Interest Policy

Executive Limitations Policy A. I, Conflict of Interest, which is attached to this memorandum, details the conflict-of-interest policy for RIO staff. This policy also indicates that the executive director is required to request staff affirm their understanding of this policy annually and disclose any conflicts of interest. Therefore, please read and sign the statement below to comply with this requirement.

“I have read and understand SIB Executive Limitations Policy A-9, Conflict of Interest. I have disclosed any conflicts of interest as required by this policy.”

Name (printed): _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Detail of any conflicts of interest (if any):

Section II: Governance Process

A. Governance Commitment

The board, on behalf of benefit recipients and the other clients, who have entrusted their funds to us, will:

- Lead the North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office (RIO) with a strategic perspective.
- Rigorously attend to its investment and oversight role.
- Continually improve its capability as a body to define values and vision.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

B. Governing Style

The board will strive to govern with an emphasis on:

- Outward vision rather than an internal preoccupation.
- Encouragement of diversity in viewpoints.
- Strategic leadership more than administrative detail.
- Clear distinction of board and executive director roles.
- Collective rather than individual decisions.
- Future rather than past or present.
- Proactivity rather than reactivity.

The board will:

1. Cultivate a sense of group responsibility. The board, not the staff, will be responsible for excellence in governing. The board will strive to be an initiator of policy, not merely a reactor to staff initiatives. The board will strive to use the expertise of individual members to enhance the ability of the board as a body, rather than to substitute the individual judgments for the board's values.
2. Direct, control, and inspire the organization through the careful establishment of the broadest written policies reflecting the board's values and perspectives. The board's major focus will be

on the intended long-term impacts outside the operating organization (Ends), not on the administrative or programmatic means of attaining those effects.

3. Enforce upon itself whatever discipline is needed to govern with excellence. Discipline will apply to matters such as attendance, policy-making principles, respect of roles, and ensuring the continuity of governance capability.
4. After speaking with one voice, self-police any tendency to stray from adopted board governance policies. The board will not allow any officer, member, or committee of the board to hinder or be an excuse for not fulfilling its commitments. The board respects the right of any member, as an individual, to publicly disagree with an adopted board policy, position, or decision. Board members will accurately portray board policies and decisions, and a Board member publicly disagreeing with a board policy or position must clearly distinguish between their individual view and the board view.
5. Promote continual board development through orientation and mentoring of new members in the board's governance process and applicable fiscal and investment concepts; receipt and review of board education; and through periodic board discussion of process improvement.
 - a. Board mentors are encouraged to assist new members to understand their fiduciary duty and role.
 - b. New board members should read and study Chapter 21-10, North Dakota Century Code and participate in a new board member onboarding curriculum approved by the SIB – GPR committee and administered by RIO staff to completion.
 - c. Newly appointed or elected board members shall become familiar with the policy manuals that have been developed by the SIB and TFFR Board.
 - d. The board members must understand their roles as trustees and fiduciaries, the Prudent Investor Rule, and Procedural Prudence.
 - e. New board member curriculum shall be established by the SIB GPR committee and at minimum must contain education on the Retirement and Investment Office's annual financial report, reference materials relating to board governance, fiduciary conduct, and investment management concepts and terminology, and other appropriate materials.
 - f. The executive director will provide the SIB with access to periodicals available which would provide current information on investment issues.
6. Monitor and regularly discuss the board's process and performance. Self-monitoring will include comparison of board activity and discipline to policies in the Governance Process and Board-Staff Relationship categories through a self-assessment process.
7. Observe Robert's Rules except where the board has superseded them.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: June 28, 1996; November 19, 1999, January 26, 2001, February 27, 2015, October 26, 2018, September 27, 2019, May 17, 2024.

C. Board Job Description

The function of the board is to make certain contributions that lead RIO toward the desired performance and ensure that it occurs. The board's specific contributions are unique to its trusteeship role and necessary for proper governance and management.

Consequently, the "products" or contributions of the board shall be:

1. The link between the SIB, its investment clients, and benefit recipients.
2. Written governing policies that, at the broadest levels, address:
 - a. *Ends*: Organizational products, impacts, benefits, outcomes, recipients, and their relative worth (what good for which needs at what cost).
 - b. *Executive Limitations*: Constraints on executive authority which establish the prudence and ethics boundaries within which all executive activity and decisions must take place.
 - c. *Governance Process*: Specification of how the board conceives, carries out, and monitors its own task.
 - d. *Board-Executive Director Relationship*: How authority is delegated, and its proper use monitored: the executive director's role, authority, and accountability.
3. The assurance of executive director performance against above policies 2a and 2b.
4. Legislation necessary to achieve the board's Ends.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

D. Chairperson's Role

The chairperson's primary responsibility is to ensure the integrity of the board's process. The chairperson is the only board member authorized to speak for the board other than in specifically authorized instances.

1. The duty of the chairperson is to see that the board operates consistent with state and federal law, administrative rules, and its own policies.

- a. The board agenda will be the responsibility and be coordinated by the chairperson with the assistance of the executive director.
 - b. Meeting discussion content will only be those issues which, according to board policy, clearly belong to the board and not the executive director, or in a board member's opinion, may deal with fiduciary responsibilities.
 - c. Deliberation will be fair, open, and thorough, but also efficient, timely, orderly, and brief.
 - d. The chairperson shall appoint a parliamentarian.
2. The authority of the chairperson consists in making decisions that fall within the topics covered by board policies on *Governance Process and Board-Executive Director Relationship*, except where the board specifically delegates portions of this authority to others. The chairperson is authorized to use any reasonable interpretation of the provisions in these policies.
- a. The chairperson is empowered to chair board meetings with all the commonly accepted authority of that position (e.g., ruling, recognizing).
 - b. The chairperson has no authority to make decisions about policies created by the board. Therefore, the chairperson has no authority to supervise or direct the executive director.
 - c. The chairperson may represent the board to outside parties in announcing board-stated positions and in stating chairperson decisions and interpretations within the area delegated to the chairperson.
 - d. The chairperson is authorized, in consultation with the executive director, to grant approval for international travel by SIB members and to keep the board informed on travel requests.
 - e. The chairperson is authorized, in consultation with the executive director, to grant approval for participation in domestic due diligence visits by SIB members with RIO staff members and it shall be the responsibility of the traveling board member to report to the SIB – Investment Committee on the results of the due diligence visits.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: August 17, 2001, September 25, 2009, May 17, 2024.

E. Board Committee Principles

Unless specifically provided by governance policy, board committees will be assigned to minimally interfere with the wholeness of the board's job and to never to interfere with delegation from board to executive director. Board committees will be used strategically.

Board committees exist to support and advise the board in fulfillment of its roles and responsibilities.

1. Board committees may not speak or act for the board except when formally given such authority for specific purposes. Expectations and authority will be carefully stated in order not to conflict with authority delegated to the executive director. Standing committees shall operate in accordance with a committee charter approved by the SIB.
2. Board committees cannot exercise authority over staff however committees will make requests of staff through the executive director unless staff is assigned to the committee. Because the executive director works for the full board, he or she will not be required to obtain approval of a board committee before an executive action. In keeping with the board's broader focus, board committees will normally not have direct dealings with current staff operations, except as specified in the committee charter.
3. Board committees are to avoid over-identification with the committee's assignment. Therefore, a board committee which has helped the board create policy will not be used to monitor organizational performance on that policy. The Investment Committee is chartered to monitor certain investment strategy execution strategies and investment performance in a more detailed way than the Board which receives independent performance appraisals and summarized updates on investment activities. The Board is the ultimate governance authority of the investment program.
4. This policy applies only to committees which are formed by board action, whether or not the committees include non-board members. It does not apply to committees formed under the authority of the executive director.
5. The chairperson will appoint board committees authorized by the board, or as otherwise set forth in the committee charter. The operational life span of a board committee will be defined at the time of appointment.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: November 22, 1996, February 27, 2015, November 18, 2022, May 17, 2024.

F. Standing Committees

The board's standing committees shall operate under the terms of a charter approved by the board and are set forth in this policy as follows:

1. Audit Committee
2. Executive Review and Compensation Committee
3. Governance and Policy Review Committee

4. Investment Committee
5. Securities Litigation Committee

Each committee shall be responsible for reviewing its charter and proposing charter updates to the SIB GPR committee.

1. Introduction – Audit Committee

An Audit Committee has been established as a standing committee of the State Investment Board (SIB). The Audit Committee will assist the SIB in carrying out its oversight responsibilities as they relate to the Retirement and Investment Office (RIO) internal and external audit programs, including financial and other reporting practices, internal controls, and compliance with laws, regulations, and ethics.

The primary objective of the internal audit function is to assist the SIB and management in the effective discharge of their responsibilities. To this end, internal auditing will furnish them with analyses, appraisals, recommendations, and pertinent information concerning the activities reviewed.

Functions and units within RIO will be reviewed at appropriate intervals to determine whether they are effectively carrying out their responsibilities of planning, organizing, directing, and controlling in accordance with SIB and management instructions, applicable laws, policies, and procedures, and in a manner consistent with both the RIO objectives and high standards of administrative practice.

Policy of the State Investment Board – Audit Committee

The audit staff shall have full, free, and unrestricted access to all RIO activities, records, property, and personnel relative to the subject under review. The audit function will be conducted in a manner consistent with acceptable professional standards and coordinated with others to best achieve the audit objectives and the RIO objectives.

The Internal Audit Services Unit is responsible for developing and directing a broad, comprehensive program of internal auditing within RIO. The Internal Audit Services Unit will report administratively to management and functionally to the Audit Committee of the SIB.

The RIO unit supervisors are responsible for seeing that corrective action on reported weaknesses is either planned or taken within 30 days from the receipt of a report disclosing those weaknesses if known or applicable. The unit supervisors are also responsible for seeing that a written report of action planned or completed is sent to the executive director. If a plan for action is reported, a second report shall be made promptly upon completion of the plan.

2. Introduction – Executive Review and Compensation Committee

An Executive Review and Compensation Committee (ERCC) has been established as a standing committee of the SIB. The ERCC will assist the SIB in fulfilling its fiduciary oversight responsibilities of “monitoring executive performance (which) is synonymous with monitoring organizational performance against board policies on Ends and Executive Limitations”. The ERCC will also assist the SIB in developing compensation goals and strategies for the agency as a whole that are in alignment with the strategic plan of the agency. The Chief Financial Officer- Chief Operating Officer (CFO-COO) will be responsible for the preparation of all committee materials with the exception of internal survey and audit materials. Internal audit will be responsible for preparing an annual summary of the required reports submitted to the SIB by the Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer in connection with its review of policy adherence to Ends and Executive Limitations. Internal audit will also assist the ERC in completing annual surveys of the Executive Director with the SIB, SIB clients, and RIO team members, and Executive Director and Deputy Executive Director- Chief Retirement Officer with the TFFR Board, TFFR stakeholders, and RIO team members.

The ERCC will conduct a formal evaluation of the Executive Director, and survey’s regarding the performance of the Chief Investment Officer, and Deputy Executive Director – Chief Retirement Officer during the first half of every calendar year. This formal evaluation by the ERCC will serve as the basis for an annual compensation recommendation for the Executive Director only to be reviewed and approved by the SIB on or before June 30th each year. The survey results for all three positions will be reported to both the SIB and TFFR Board. Internal audit will also assist the SIB and ERC in administering the annual board self-assessment process.

Policy of the State Investment Board – Executive Review and Compensation Committee

The ERCC is authorized to:

- Conduct a formal evaluation of the Executive Director annually;
- Obtain SIB approval of the annual performance evaluation of the Executive Director;
- Obtain performance surveys of the Chief Investment Officer and Deputy Executive Director- Chief Retirement Officer;
- Make a compensation recommendation for the Executive Director to the SIB on or before June 30th of each year;
- Administer a formal self-assessment of the SIB periodically (unless instructed otherwise)
- Review and make recommendations regarding RIO’s compensation policy to ensure RIO can recruit and retain superior talent to satisfy the core mission and strategic plan of the agency; and
- Conduct or procure a new peer compensation study or survey at least every three years to assess the current level of RIO staff compensation and recommend policy changes to address target salary ranges as appropriate.

The ERCC and/or RIO will seek SIB approval prior to formally engaging any third-party assistance in conducting the annual executive review process or board self-assessment.

3. Introduction – Governance & Policy Review

A Governance and Policy Review Committee has been established as a standing committee of the SIB. The Governance and Policy Review Committee will assist the SIB in fulfilling its fiduciary oversight responsibilities to fulfill its responsibilities regarding matters that relate to governing the SIB, policies, and identifying and making recommendations to the SIB.

The Governance and Policy Review Committee will be responsible for reviewing the governance manual, recommending policy changes, and when directed by the board review governance specific concerns, and make recommendations for improvement.

Policy of the State Investment Board – Governance & Policy Review Committee

The Governance & Policy Review Committee is authorized to:

- Review and recommend policies for the governance manual.
- Ensure the governance manual reflects best practices and good governance.
- As directed by the board, review specific governance concerns, and make recommendations for improvement.
- Request RIO staff for specific topic training or education

4. Introduction – Investment Committee

The Investment Committee (the “Committee”) is created to provide oversight of SIB investments within the parameters established by the SIB. Oversight will include an analysis of risk and return at the portfolio, asset class, and client fund levels. Additionally, the Committee will provide input to the Board on asset allocation and benchmark recommendations.

In general, the Committee will focus on various policies and procedures of the agency to ensure they are consistent with industry standards and that they continue to keep pace with prudent investment theory and practice.

The Committee will review decisions made about deviations from established benchmarks and allocation of investments among internal management (if approved) and external management, including decisions about passive, active and quantitative styles.

Policy of the State Investment Board - Investment Committee

The Investment Committee is authorized to:

- propose to the SIB changes to its Investment Policy including delegation of investment authority to RIO investment staff;
- approve the SIB Investment Committee Investment Guidelines (IC Guidelines);

- approve the general strategies for each investment division; and
- approve new investment instruments.

5. Introduction – Securities Litigation Committee

A Securities Litigation Committee (SLC) has been established as a standing committee of the State Investment Board (SIB). The SLC will assist the SIB in fulfilling its fiduciary oversight responsibilities of monitoring the investment assets entrusted to it by the various statutory and contracted funds, and to serve as a communications link for the SIB, RIO’s management and staff, third party securities litigation firms, and others.

The SLC will determine when an active role should be pursued in regard to securities litigation affecting investments within the SIB’s portfolios based on the SIB approved Securities Litigation Policy and approved SIB Securities Litigation Committee Charter.

Policy of the State Investment Board – Securities Litigation Committee

The SLC is authorized to:

- Draft policy (to be formally approved by SIB) regarding dollar and/or risk thresholds for determining when to opt-out of class actions and/or seek direct litigation or lead plaintiff status;
- Based on SIB approved policy make decisions on the level of participation the SIB will take in direct litigation, opt-in or group litigation, anti-trust and other class actions; and
- Approve the selection of special assistant attorneys (in conjunction with the approval of the Office of the Attorney General) in cases of direct litigation.

RIO’s management is responsible for ongoing monitoring of securities litigation and claims filing. RIO management and staff will enable the SLC to provide a periodic update to the SIB on the SLC’s activities and related recommendations.

The SLC has the responsibility to provide oversight in the areas of:

- policy development;
- determination on direct litigation and/or lead plaintiff status; and
- approval of special assistant attorneys (outside counsel) with concurrence of the Attorney General.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Policy Amended: April 27, 2018, September 27, 2019, February 25, 2022, July 22, 2022, May 17, 2024.

G. Annual Board Planning Cycle

To accomplish its job outputs with a governance style consistent with board policies, the board will strive to follow an annual agenda which (a) completes a re-exploration of Ends policies annually and

(b) continually improves its performance through attention to board education and to enriched input and deliberation.

1. An annual calendar will be developed.
2. The cycle will conclude each year on the last day of June in order that administrative budgeting can be based on accomplishing a one-year segment of the most recent board long-range vision.
 - a. In the last three months of the concluding cycle, the board will strive to develop its agenda for the ensuing fiscal one-year period.
 - b. Scheduled monitoring will be used to evaluate and adjust the annual agenda as needed.
3. Education, input, and deliberation will receive paramount attention in structuring the series of meetings and other board activities during the year.
 - a. To the extent feasible, the board will strive to identify those areas of education and input needed to increase the level of wisdom and forethought it can give to subsequent choices.
 - b. A board education plan will be developed during April and May of each year for the following year.
4. The sequence derived from this process for the board planning year ending June 30 is as follows: by May of the preceding fiscal year the board shall review and approve a board education and planning calendar. This calendar must provide quarterly investment performance and Investment Ends reports from the Chief Investment Officer, quarterly reports from any consultant retained by the board to monitor investment performance, quarterly fiscal and budget conditions reports, internal audit and TFFR Ends reports; at least quarterly, or as frequently as needed standing committee and executive limitations reports including a plan for a board self-assessment and review of the executive director, and board education.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995; November 19, 1999.

Amended: September 26, 2014, February 27, 2015, November 18, 2022, May 17, 2024.

H. Board Members' Code of Conduct

The following will be the Code of Ethical Responsibility for the SIB:

1. SIB members owe a duty to conduct themselves so as to inspire the confidence, respect, and trust of the SIB members and to strive to avoid not only professional impropriety but also the appearance of impropriety.
2. SIB members should perform the duties of their offices impartially and diligently. SIB members are expected to fulfill their responsibilities in accord with the intent of all applicable laws and

regulations and to refrain from any form of dishonest or unethical conduct. Board members should be unswayed by partisan interest, public sentiment, or fear of criticism.

3. Conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety shall be avoided by SIB members. Board members must not allow their family, social, professional, or other relationships to influence their judgment in discharging their responsibilities. Board members must refrain from financial and business dealings that tend to reflect adversely on their duties. If a conflict of interest unavoidably arises, the board member shall immediately disclose the conflict to the SIB. A board member must abstain in those situations where the board member is faced with taking some official action regarding property or a contract in which the board member has a personal interest. Conflicts of interest to be avoided include but are not limited to: receiving consideration for advice over which the board member has any direct or indirect control, acting as an agent or attorney for a person in a transaction involving the board, and participation in any transaction involving for which the board member has acquired information unavailable to the general public, through participation on the board.
4. "Conflict of Interest" means a situation in which a board member has a conflict of interest as that term is defined in North Dakota statute and rules promulgated by the North Dakota Ethics Commission under N.D.A.C. Chapter 115-04-01.
5. The board should not unnecessarily retain consultants. The hiring of consultants shall be based on merit, avoiding nepotism and preference based upon considerations other than merit that may occur for any reason, including prior working relationships. The compensation of such consultants shall not exceed the fair value of services rendered.
6. Board members shall perform their respective duties in a manner that satisfies their fiduciary responsibilities.
7. All activities and transactions performed on behalf of public pension funds must be for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to plan participants and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the plan.
8. Prohibited transactions are those involving self-dealing. Self-dealing refers to the fiduciary's use of plan assets or material, non-public information for personal gain; engaging in transactions on behalf of parties whose interests are adverse to the plan; or receiving personal consideration in connection with any planned transaction.
9. Violation of these rules may result in an official reprimand from the SIB. No reprimand may be issued until the board member or employee has had the opportunity to be heard by the board.
10. Board Members are required to affirm their understanding of this policy annually, in writing, and must disclose any conflicts of interest that may arise.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: January 22, 1999, February 25, 2011, January 27, 2012, February 27, 2015, May 17, 2024.

I. Administration of Fiduciary Authority

The board is responsible for:

1. Proper exercise of fiduciary investment authority by RIO
2. The determination of policies.
3. The investment and disposition of property held in a fiduciary capacity.
4. The direction and review of the actions of all officers, employees, and committees in the exercise of the board's delegated fiduciary authority.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

J. Policy Introduction/Amendment/Passage

New policies or policy amendments may be proposed by the Executive Director or a Board member. All new policies or amendments may be submitted to the Board's Legal Counsel for drafting in the approved style.

Upon request of the Executive Director or a Board member a new policy or amendment shall be placed on the Board's agenda for action as follows:

1. Introduction and first reading. A brief explanation or summary of the new policy or amendment shall be presented to the Board. Upon approval of introduction and first reading, the measure shall be placed on the agenda of the next scheduled meeting of the Board for second reading and adoption. When appropriate, the measure shall be distributed to interested parties.
2. Second reading and adoption. Interested parties and the public shall be allowed an opportunity to comment on the policy or amendment before final action by the Board. The measure shall take effect immediately following second reading and adoption by the Board unless a different effective date is stated.
3. Amendments. Amendments may be proposed at any time before final adoption of the measure. Upon determination by the Board that adoption of an amendment constitutes a substantive change that significantly changes the meaning or effect of the measure, the Board shall continue consideration of second reading and adoption to the next meeting to permit further review and comment.

Emergency measures. The Board may, upon determination that an emergency or other circumstances calling for expeditious action exists, waive the requirement of a second reading and immediately approve the new policy or amendment following introduction and first reading.

Policy Implemented: February 27, 2009

Policy Revised: November 18, 2016

Section II Exhibits

1. Annual Affirmation of Code of Conduct Policy

Memorandum

To: State Investment Board
From: RIO Compliance Officer
Date:
RE: Annual Affirmation of Code of Conduct Policy

Governance Process Policy 2-H, Board Members' Code of Conduct, which is attached to this memorandum, details the Code of Ethical Responsibility for the SIB. Item #10 of this policy indicates that each Board Member is required to reaffirm their understanding of this policy annually and disclose any conflicts of interest. Therefore, please read and sign the statement below to comply with this requirement.

"I have read and understand SIB Governance Process Policy 2-H Board Members' Code of Conduct. I have disclosed any conflicts of interest as required by this policy."

Name (printed) _____

Signature _____

Date _____

Detail of any conflicts of interest (if any):

2. Committee Charters

CHARTER OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE NORTH DAKOTA STATE INVESTMENT BOARD

PURPOSE AND MISSION

The Audit Committee (the Committee) is a standing committee of the North Dakota State Investment Board (SIB) created to fulfill its fiduciary oversight responsibilities of the North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office (RIO) and to serve as a communications link among the SIB, the RIO's management and Internal Audit staff, independent auditors, and others.

The Committee will assist with the SIB in carrying out its oversight responsibilities as they relate to the Retirement and Investment Office (RIO) internal and external audit programs, including financial and other reporting practices, internal controls, and compliance with laws, regulations, and ethics. A. The purpose of the internal audit division is to provide an independent, objective assurance and advisory activity designed to add value and improve North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office (RIO's) operations. The mission of internal audit is to enhance and protect organizational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice, and insight. The internal audit division will assist RIO in accomplishing its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control, and governance processes.

AUTHORITY

The Committee is authorized to provide oversight to the Internal Audit function and the independent audit for the RIO. These activities provide assurance that RIO's financial condition and results of operations are accomplished in accordance with the RIO's policies and procedures and legal and regulatory requirements. The Committee may investigate any activity of the RIO and may retain persons as necessary from within or outside the RIO having special competence to assist the Committee in the accomplishment of its responsibilities.

The RIO's Supervisor of Internal Audit will be the staff member reporting administratively to the Executive Director and functionally to the Committee.

The Executive Director will supervise the administrative activities of the Internal Audit function and independent audit activities such as securing contracts, paying fees, maintaining official reports, and other appropriate activities.

COMPOSITION

The Committee will consist of five members, selected by and approved by the SIB. Three members of the Committee will represent the three groups on the SIB: Legacy & Budget Stabilization Fund Advisory Board, a pension representative, member-at-large, and two members selected from outside of the SIB and the RIO. The SIB should select committee members who are both independent and financially literate.

Membership on the Committee will be for one year or termination of term on the SIB. Vacancies will be filled by the SIB at the first scheduled meeting following the vacancy. There will be no limit to the number of terms served on the Committee.

The Committee will elect a Chair, and a Vice Chair. A liaison will be appointed by the Chair. The Chair will preside at all meetings of the Committee. In the absence of the Chair, the Vice Chair will perform the duties of the Chair. The liaison will report annually to the SIB on the results of the independent audit and at least four times a year to the SIB and TFFR board on the activities of the Committee and other pertinent information.

The Committee may form, and delegate authority to, subcommittees when it deems appropriate.

MEETINGS

The Committee will meet generally four times a year, with authority to convene additional meetings, as circumstances require or to adequately fulfill all the obligations and duties as outlined in this charter.

Meeting agendas will be prepared by the Supervisor of Internal Audit and approved by the Committee Chair, unless otherwise directed by the Committee and will be provided to the Committee members along with briefing materials before the scheduled committee meeting.

Committee members are expected to attend each meeting, in person or via tele- or videoconference. The Supervisor of Internal Audit, a representative of RIO's management team and others necessary to provide information and to conduct business will attend meetings. The Committee may invite staff of the RIO, auditors or others to attend meetings, as necessary. Meetings will be conducted in accordance with NDCC 44-04-17.1. The Committee may hold executive sessions as allowed under state law.

The Committee will act only on the affirmative vote of three of the committee members at a meeting. To conduct business, a quorum will be three members of the Committee. Should a quorum not be present before a scheduled meeting or during a meeting, the Chair will announce the absence of a quorum and the members will disburse. Meeting minutes will be prepared by the RIO, or as otherwise directed by the Committee. Approved meeting minutes of the Committee will be submitted to the SIB.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The RIO's management is responsible for financial and other reporting, internal controls, and compliance with laws, regulations, and ethics. The Committee has the responsibility to provide oversight in the areas of:

- Internal and external audit programs
 - Includes financial and other reporting practices.
- Internal controls
- Compliance with laws, regulations, and ethics

To this end, the Committee will:

Independent Audit

- Review the independent auditors' proposed audit scope and approach, including coordination of audit effort with RIO's Internal Audit staff and any developments in accounting principles and auditing standards that may affect either the financial statements or the audit.
- Inquire as to any proposed changes in accounting or financial reporting procedures and of any unusual events that could impact the financial statements.
- Review the results of the financial statements report with the independent auditors and the RIO's management, prior to the release of the financial statements report to the SIB and other officials. This review will include the following, as applicable:
 - Any major problems encountered by the independent auditors and the resolution thereof;
 - The effect on the audit of any developments;
 - Any unresolved differences between the independent auditors and the RIO's management;
 - Any other significant comments or recommendations of the independent auditors or the RIO's management;
 - The adequacy of the RIO's internal accounting controls and accounting policies, procedures, and practices; and
 - Understand the scope of independent auditors' review of internal control over financial reporting, and obtain reports on significant findings and recommendations, together with the RIO's management responses.
- Consider the effectiveness of the RIO's internal control system, including information technology security and control.
- Consider whether the financial statements are complete, consistent with information known to committee members, and reflect appropriate accounting principles. This will include the following, as applicable:
 - The accuracy and completeness of the information in other sections of the annual report and related regulatory filings;
 - The significant accounting and reporting issues, including complex or unusual transactions and highly judgmental areas, and recent professional and regulatory pronouncements, and understand their impact on the financial statements; and
 - All matters required to be communicated to the Committee under generally accepted auditing standards with the RIO's management and the independent auditors.
- Review non-audit services, if any, performed for the RIO by the independent auditors.

Audit Services

- Consider the effectiveness of the Internal Audit function, within The Institute of Internal Auditors' *International Professional Practices Framework for Internal Auditing* consisting of the *Definition of Internal Auditing, Code of Ethics and the Standards*.
- Review with the Executive Director and the Supervisor of Internal Audit the audit charter, activities, staffing, and organizational structure of Internal Audit.
- Review and approve the annual risk-based audit work plan and all major changes to the plan.
- Bring to attention of the Board any internal audit issues the Committee determines significant and appropriate for Board consideration.
- Participate with the Executive Director in the appointment and annual evaluation of the Supervisor of Internal Audit. Work with the Executive Director on any changes in staffing, including the addition,

termination, or replacement of auditors, and the approval of salary increases and/or promotions other than those authorized by the legislature.

Risk Management

- Obtain information and/or training to enhance the Committee's understanding of organization and its related risk management processes.
- Review the adequacy of the organization's policy on risk management.
- Review the effectiveness of the organization's system for assessing, monitoring, and controlling significant risks or exposures.
- Review management's reports on risks and related risk mitigations.
- Hire outside experts and consultants in risk management, as necessary, subject to full board approval.

Compliance

- Review staff compliance with federal and state laws and North Dakota administrative code as applicable to RIO, the SIB and TFFR Board programs, and the process for communicating the code of conduct to the RIO's staff, and for monitoring compliance through the receipt of the audit results.
- Review the process for communicating and monitoring compliance with the code of ethics, code of conduct, and fraud policies.
- Review the findings of any examinations by regulatory agencies, any auditor observations related to compliance, and the responsiveness and timeliness of management's actions to address the findings/recommendations.
- Obtain updates from the RIO's management and legal counsel regarding compliance matters, as deemed necessary.

Reporting Responsibilities

- Report to the SIB about the Committee's activities, issues, and related recommendations.

Provide a written report annually to the SIB, describing the Committee's composition, responsibilities and how they were discharged, and any other information required.

Other Responsibilities

- Make recommendations to the North Dakota State Auditor's Office, when appropriate, as it relates to selection, evaluation, and termination of independent auditors.
- Obtain the information and training needed to enhance the committee members' understanding of the role of Internal Audit and the independent auditor, the risk management process, internal controls, and a certain level of familiarity in financial reporting standards and processes so the Committee may adequately oversee.
- Serve as an open avenue of communication among the SIB, the RIO's management and Internal Audit, the independent auditors, and others.
- Serve as an appropriate confidential body for individuals to provide information on potentially fraudulent financial reporting or breaches of internal control.

- Inquire of management and Internal Audit regarding the procedures in place for the prevention of illegal payments, conflicts of interest, or other questionable practices.
- Perform other activities related to this charter as requested by the SIB.
- Institute and oversee special investigations as needed.
- Review any other reports the RIO issues that relates to the Committee's responsibilities.
- Review and assess the adequacy of the Committee charter annually, requesting the SIB approval for proposed changes.
- Confirm annually the review of all responsibilities outlined in this charter.

DATE OF CREATION OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS: December 14, 1993

DATE AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER ADOPTED AND APPROVED: June 24, 1994

REVISED: November 22, 1996, February 13, 1997, November 6, 2001, May 19, 2006, May 18, 2007, June 26, 2009, May 19, 2016, January 25, 2019.

Executive Review and Compensation Committee Charter

I. PURPOSE

The Executive Review and Compensation Committee (“ERCC”) will assist the State Investment Board (SIB) to fulfill its responsibilities regarding matters that relate to “monitoring executive performance (which) is synonymous with monitoring organizational performance against board policies on *Ends* and *Executive Limitations*”. The ERCC will also assist the SIB in developing compensation goals and strategies for the agency as a whole that are in alignment with the strategic plan of the agency.

II. KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

The ERCC shall perform all duties as requested or required by the SIB. Specifically:

- Conduct a formal evaluation of the Executive Director annually prior to June 30th of each year;
- Obtain SIB approval of the annual performance evaluation of the Executive Director prior to June 30th of each year;
- Obtain performance surveys of the Chief Investment Officer and Deputy Executive Director-Chief Retirement Officer prior to June 30th of each;
- Make a compensation recommendation for the Executive Director to the SIB on or before June 30th of each year;
- Administer a formal self-assessment of the SIB periodically (unless instructed otherwise);
- Review and make recommendations regarding RIO’s compensation policy to ensure RIO can recruit and retain superior talent to satisfy the core mission and strategic plan of the agency; and
- Conduct or procure a new peer compensation study or survey at least every three years to assess the current level of RIO staff compensation and recommend policy changes to address target salary ranges as appropriate.

The ERCC will conduct a formal evaluation of the Executive Director, and performance survey’s regarding the performance of the Chief Investment Officer, and Deputy Executive Director – Chief Retirement Officer during the first half of every calendar year. This formal evaluation by the ERCC will serve as the basis for an annual compensation recommendation for the Executive Director only to be reviewed and approved by the SIB on or before June 30th each year. The survey results for all three positions will be reported to both the SIB and TFFR Board.

III. ERCC COMPOSITION AND TERM LIMITS

The ERCC shall be composed of at least three SIB members as appointed by the SIB Chair at the first SIB meeting in July of each year or when a vacancy arises. This is a standing committee with no term limits. At least one committee member must be an elected or appointed official.

The Chief Financial Officer- Chief Operating Officer (CFO-COO) will be responsible for the preparation of all committee materials with the exception of internal survey and audit materials. Internal audit will be responsible for preparing an annual summary of the required reports submitted to the SIB by the Executive Director in connection with its review of policy adherence to *Ends* and *Executive Limitations*. Internal audit will assist the ERCC in completing annual surveys of the Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer with the SIB, SIB clients, and RIO team members, and Executive Director and Deputy Executive Director- Chief Retirement Officer with the TFFR Board, TFFR stakeholders, and

RIO team members. Internal audit will also assist the SIB and ERCC in administering the annual board self-assessment process.

IV. MEETINGS

The ERCC must meet at least twice in the second half of the fiscal year, and hold additional meetings as needed, to fulfill its responsibilities as described in this Committee Charter and as called by the Committee Chair. At its first meeting after July the Committee will elect a Committee Chair and Vice-Chair.

V. AUTHORIZATION AND LIMITATIONS OF POWER

The ERCC is established by the SIB governance manual and has no power or authority to act on behalf of the full board. The ERCC will abide by the provisions in the governance manual that pertain to the meetings and actions of the Board.

Governance & Policy Review Committee Charter

PURPOSE

The Governance & Policy Review Committee (“Committee”) will assist the State Investment Board (SIB) to fulfill its responsibilities regarding matters that relate to governing the SIB, policies, and identifying and making recommendations to the SIB.

KEY RESPONSIBILITIES

The Governance & Policy Review Committee shall perform all duties as requested or required by the SIB. The Governance & Policy Review Committee will specifically be responsible for the following duties and responsibilities:

1. Advise the SIB about operational strategies relevant to the SIB’s governance manual to strengthen the SIB and empower the Board members to meet its obligations related to sound governance principles and abide by the agency’s mission.
2. Advise the SIB about strategies that strive to increase the individual Board member effectiveness and their abilities to work collaboratively with their peers.
3. Review and make recommendations for policies for the governance manual that reflect best practices for overall good governance.
4. As directed by the board, review specific governance concerns and make recommendations for improvement.
5. Request Retirement and Investment Office staff for specific topic training and education for Board members. Make recommendations regarding an orientation process for newly appointed SIB members.

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE COMPOSITION AND TERM LIMITS

The Governance Committee shall be composed of at least three members. They will be nominated and approved by a majority vote of the SIB. This is a standing committee with no term limits. The Executive Director will be responsible for meeting preparation.

MEETINGS

The Governance Committee will meet quarterly and hold additional meetings as needed to fulfill its responsibilities as described in this Committee Charter and as called by the Governance Committee Chair.

AUTHORIZATION AND LIMITATIONS OF POWER

The Governance Committee is established by the SIB governance manual and has no power or authority to act on behalf of the full board. The Governance Committee will abide by the provisions in the governance manual that pertain to the meetings and actions of the Board.

North Dakota State Investment Board Investment Committee Charter

The North Dakota State Investment Board Investment Committee (SIB Investment Committee), in conjunction with North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office management (RIO) and North Dakota State Investment Board (SIB), has developed the following policies and procedures relating to the prudent management of SIB assets.

COMMITTEE PURPOSE AND CHARTER

The Investment Committee (the “Committee”) is created to provide oversight of SIB investments within the parameters established by the SIB. Oversight will include an analysis of risk and return at the portfolio, asset class, and client fund levels. Additionally, the Committee will provide input to the Board on asset allocation and benchmark recommendations.

In general, the Committee will focus on various policies and procedures of the agency to ensure they are consistent with industry standards and that they continue to keep pace with prudent investment theory and practice.

The Committee will review decisions made about deviations from established benchmarks and allocation of investments among internal management (if approved) and external management, including decisions about passive, active and quantitative styles.

The Committee is responsible for (i) proposing to the SIB changes to its Investment Policy including delegation of investment authority to RIO investment staff; (ii) approving the SIB Investment Committee Investment Guidelines (IC Guidelines); (iii) approving the general strategies for each investment division; and (iv) approving new investment instruments. All investment guidelines must be consistent with the investment authority provided in North Dakota Century Code Chapter 21-10.

INVESTMENT COMMITTEE COMPOSITION AND TERM LIMITS

The Investment Committee shall be composed of two members of the SIB board, two external investment professionals and two RIO staff appointed by the SIB Chair. The SIB Chair will also appoint a Chair and a Vice Chair of the Committee. The two external investment professionals may be either currently active or retired and have substantial institutional investment experience.

Membership on the Committee will be for one year or termination of term on the SIB. Vacancies will be filled by the SIB at the first scheduled meeting following the vacancy. There will be no limit to the number of terms served on the Committee.

The Chief Investment Officer will be responsible for meeting preparation.

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. The Committee will suggest and recommend changes to the SIB Investment Policy, as necessary including any delegation of authority to RIO investment staff.
- B. The Committee will review periodically and approve changes and additions to the IC Guidelines and will report any revisions to the SIB.
- C. The Committee may examine internally (if approved) and externally managed portfolios, individual investments, correlation among portfolios, and such other matters as the Committee deems appropriate for the purpose of understanding, measuring, controlling, monitoring, and reporting SIB investment exposure.

- D. The Committee will review and approve the use of new investment instruments prior to their implementation in internal (if approved) and external SIB portfolios.
- E. The Committee will oversee the review and implementation of any other new investment programs or initiatives in all SIB portfolios and will coordinate any necessary related SIB approvals.
- F. For purposes of fulfilling its risk management and oversight responsibilities, the Committee will act as liaison between the RIO investment Staff and the SIB on issues concerning investment risk management.
- G. The Committee will review a subset of asset class strategies at least quarterly to assess established risk limits and evaluate strategy and will approve such strategies annually. The relevant Investment Staff shall be responsible for the specific investment decisions and implementations including internally (if approved) and externally managed mandates that are used to execute the approved strategies.
- H. The Committee will review all compliance-related issues including compliance with statutes, administrative rules, internal and external manager investment guidelines or as otherwise requested.
- I. The Committee will review asset allocation plans and strategies and will review and approve any proposed changes to SIB's strategic asset allocations and fund-level active risk objectives before they are presented to the Board for approval. The Committee will provide consultation and assistance to the SIB, ED and staff concerning total fund allocation changes or rebalance decisions, as needed.
- J. The Committee will review and act on all requests from investment managers, both internal (if approved) and external for waivers to provisions in their investment guidelines. On an emergency basis when it is impractical to timely convene a meeting of the Investment Committee, either the Chair or Vice Chair of the committee with the concurrence of the Chief Investment Officer of the Committee or the Executive Director, may approve a waiver. That waiver will be brought to the Committee for ratification at its next regularly scheduled meeting.
- K. The Committee may review and analyze other compliance-, risk- or derivative-related (if approved) matters that are directed to the attention of the Committee by the SIB, external auditors, the Internal Audit group, and RIO investment and accounting staff.
- L. The Committee will receive quarterly reports regarding transitions (if any) and shall review with the applicable Investment Staff the costs and impacts associated with the transitions. It will also from time-to-time review reports on the trading effectiveness of investment execution of internal investment strategies (if approved).
- M. The Committee will review annual benchmark recommendations from a Board-appointed benchmark consultant and will provide its evaluation and recommendation to the Board.
- N. The Committee will review and revise portfolio guidelines as necessary.
- O. The Committee will establish procedures for the methodology and frequency of review of (i) fund, asset class and portfolio performance, (ii) performance attribution, (iii) allocation within asset classes and (iv) risk levels. Procedures will be shared with the Board of Trustees.
- P. The Committee will conduct periodic round table discussions of the economic and investment environment.

GENERAL COMMITTEE PROCEDURES

- Q. A majority of the voting members of the Committee constitute a quorum. A majority of a quorum is required to take any Committee action or approve any motion. If an approved motion lacked the support by the Committee Chair, the results of the vote shall be reported in a separate report to the Board of Trustees at its next regularly scheduled meeting. Any member proposing or responsible for oversight of an investment being brought to the Committee for approval will be recused from the vote.
- R. The Committee shall establish a regular monthly meeting schedule. Non-routine meetings may be called by any Committee member with sufficient lead time to provide appropriate notice of the meeting under ...
- S. The Committee Charter shall be approved by the SIB.
- T. Minutes of Committee meetings shall be kept and be provided to SIB. Regular Board meetings shall include an agenda item for discussion of Committee actions and proceedings.
- U. The Committee may establish standing subcommittees or temporary working groups to carry on assigned activities. Such subcommittees or working groups will report on their activities to the Committee as requested by the Committee.

DERIVATIVE INVESTMENTS

- V. Procedures for review, processing, and monitoring of derivative investments (if approved) will be established by the Committee.
- W. Specific investment policies regarding the use of derivative instruments (if approved) are determined for each portfolio by the IC Guidelines. Such policies, as amended from time to time, are officially contained in the IC Guidelines or external manager contracts.
- X. The Chief Financial Officer or designee will review with the Committee any changes in the accounting treatment and required note disclosures for external reporting purposes used for derivative instruments, based on the applicable fund, the characteristics of the instrument and any underlying assets or liabilities.

CHARTER OF THE SECURITIES LITIGATION COMMITTEE OF THE NORTH DAKOTA STATE INVESTMENT BOARD

I. PURPOSE

The Securities Litigation Committee (the Committee) is a standing committee of the North Dakota State Investment Board (SIB) created to assist in fulfilling its fiduciary oversight responsibilities of monitoring the investment of assets entrusted to it by the various statutory and contracted funds, and to serve as a communications link for the SIB, RIO's management and staff, third party securities litigation firms, and others.

The Committee will determine when an active role should be pursued in regard to securities litigation affecting securities within the SIB's portfolios.

II. AUTHORITY

The Committee is authorized to:

- draft policy (to be formally approved by SIB) regarding dollar and/or risk thresholds for determining when to opt-out of class actions and/or seek direct litigation or lead plaintiff status;
- based on SIB approved policy, make decisions on the level of participation the SIB will take in direct litigation, opt-in or group litigation, anti-trust and other class actions; and
- approve the selection of special assistant attorneys in cases of direct litigation.

III. COMPOSITION

The Committee will consist of three members of the SIB appointed by the Chair.

Membership on the Committee will be for one year or termination of term on the SIB. Vacancies will be filled by the SIB Chair at the first scheduled meeting following the vacancy. There will be no limit to the number of terms served on the Committee.

The Committee will elect a Chair. The Chair will preside at all meetings of the Committee and serve as the liaison to the SIB. In the absence of, or at the direction of the Chair, the Executive Director will report committee actions. The liaison will report quarterly to the SIB, or as often as the committee shall meet, on the activities of the Committee and other pertinent information.

IV. MEETINGS

The Committee will meet quarterly, with authority to convene additional or reduce meetings, as circumstances require to adequately fulfill all the obligations and duties as outlined in this charter.

Meeting agendas will be prepared by the Executive Director and approved by the Committee Chair, unless otherwise directed by the Committee and will be provided to the Committee members along with briefing materials before the scheduled committee meeting.

Committee members are expected to attend each meeting, in person or virtually. RIO's executive management and others necessary to provide information and to conduct business will attend meetings. The Committee may invite staff of RIO or others to attend meetings, as necessary. The Committee may hold executive sessions as allowed under state law.

V. RESPONSIBILITIES

RIO's management is responsible for ongoing monitoring of securities litigation and claims filing. Based on SIB approved policy guidelines, the Committee has the responsibility to provide oversight in the areas of:

- policy development
- determination on direct litigation and/or lead plaintiff status
- approval of special assistant attorneys (outside counsel)

To this end, the Committee will:

- Develop initial policy and periodically review policy to determine if changes are needed.
- Review reports from RIO staff and third parties in order to maintain awareness of potential and actual securities litigation affecting the SIB portfolios.
- Make decisions on whether to pursue direct litigation and/or lead plaintiff status on cases exceeding policy thresholds for passive participation.
- Select third party litigation firms when deemed appropriate.
- Perform other activities related to this charter as requested by the SIB.
- Review and assess the adequacy of the Committee charter annually, requesting the SIB approval for proposed changes.
- Confirm annually the review of all responsibilities outlined in this charter.

Date of Creation of Committee Amendments: February 16, 2018

Date Securities Litigation Committee Charter Adopted and Approved: April 27, 2018

Revised: March 24, 2023

Section III: Board-Staff Relationship

A. Chief Executive Role

The executive director, as chief executive officer, is accountable to the board acting as a body. The board will instruct the executive director through these written policies, delegating to the executive director the implementation and administration of these policies.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

B. Delegation to the Executive Director

All board authority delegated to staff is delegated through the executive director.

1. The board authority will direct the executive director to achieve specified results, for specified recipients, at a specified cost through the establishment of *Ends* policies. The board will limit the latitude the Executive Director may exercise in practices, methods, conduct, and other “means” to the *Ends* through establishment of *Executive Limitations* policies.
2. The Executive Director must use reasonable judgment in the implementation or administration of the board’s *Ends* and *Executive Limitations* policies; the executive director is authorized to establish practices and develop activities.
3. The board may change its *Ends* and *Executive Limitations* policies. By so doing, the board changes the latitude of choice given to the Executive Director. If any particular delegation is in place, the board and its members will respect and support the Executive Director’s choices, provided that the Executive Director’s choice is consistent with the board’s fiduciary responsibility.
4. Only decisions of the board acting as the body are binding upon the Executive Director.
 - a. Decisions or instructions of individual board members, officers, or committees are not binding on the Executive Director except in rare instances when the board has specifically authorized such exercise of authority.
 - b. In the case of board members or committees requesting information, other than a public record, or assistance without board authorization, the Executive Director may refuse such requests that require a material amount of staff time or funds or is disruptive.
5. The Executive Director will be responsible for the hiring, termination, and annual evaluation of all employees of the Retirement and Investment Office.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: November 22, 1996; November 19, 1999.

C. Executive Director Job Description

As the board's single official link to the operating organization, the executive director's performance will be considered to be synonymous with the RIO's total performance. It is the responsibility of the board to establish and maintain a job description for the executive director.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995, May 17, 2024.

D. Monitoring Executive Performance

Monitoring executive performance is synonymous with monitoring organizational performance against board policies on *Ends* and on *Executive Limitations*. Any evaluation of the executive director's performance, formal or informal, may be derived only from these monitoring data.

1. The purpose of monitoring is simply to determine the degree to which board policies are being fulfilled. Information which does not do this will not be considered to be monitoring. Only a minimum amount of board time as necessary will be devoted toward monitoring so that meetings can best be used to create the future rather than to review the past.
2. A given policy may be monitored in one or more of three ways:
 - A. Internal report: Disclosure of compliance information to the board from the executive director.
 - B. External report: Discovery of compliance information by a disinterested, external auditor, inspector or judge who is selected by and reports directly to the board. Such reports must assess executive performance only against policies of the board, not those of the external party unless the board has previously indicated that party's opinion to be the standard.
 - C. Direct board inspection: Discovery of compliance information by a board member, a committee, or the board as a whole. This is a board inspection of documents, activities, or circumstances directed by the board which allows a "prudent person" test of policy compliance.
3. The board will monitor each *Ends* and *Executive Limitations* policy according to the following frequency and method:

Quarterly internal reports for policies:

I-B: Communication and Counsel to Board

I-D: Budgeting

I-E: Financial Condition

IV-C: Investment Services

Annual external reports for policies:

I-D: Budgeting

I-G: Asset Protection

IV-C: Investment Services

Annual internal reports for policies under Section I Executive Limitations will be assessed and provided as part of the annual Executive Limitations Audit referred to under Subsection 4 C of this policy.

4. The Executive Director will submit required monitoring reports at regular meetings of the board. The board will act on those reports by voting on one of the following motions:
 - A. A motion to accept the report.
 - B. A motion to conditionally accept the report, with a statement of the revisions or additional information that is necessary for the report to be accepted without condition.
 - C. The internal audit staff will be responsible for preparing an annual summary of the board's action concerning required reports submitted by the Executive Director, and the summary will be made available as a part of the formal evaluation of the Executive Director.
5. Each March the board will conduct a formal evaluation of the executive director/investment officer. This evaluation will be based on accomplishments of Ends and *Compliance with Executive Limitations*.
6. The Executive Review and Compensation Committee will facilitate the executive director performance review and make a recommendation to the full board for approval of the performance review and a salary for the executive director.

In making its recommendation, the committee will consider job performance as evidenced by the annual summary of the periodic monitoring reports, the Retirement and Investment Office budget status, applicable and available national compensation surveys, the legislature's approved salary increases for state employees, the North Dakota market compensation for comparable positions, and other data or information considered relevant by the committee.

The committee's recommendation will be placed on the May board meeting agenda for possible action by the board. Final action by the board will be accomplished no later than June of any fiscal year.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995

Amended: November 21, 1997; June 25, 1999; November 19, 1999; January 28, 2000; February 25, 2000; February 23, 2001; September 26, 2014, May 17, 2024.

Section IV: Ends

A. Mission

The Retirement and Investment Office exists in order that:

- Prudent and transparent investment services are provided to SIB client funds and North Dakota public school educators are supported with responsible benefit administration.

The execution of this mission will be evaluated on the following:

- SIB clients receive investment returns, consistent with their written investment policies and market variables, in a cost-effective manner and under the Prudent Investor Rule.
- Potential SIB clients have access to information regarding the investment services provided by the SIB.
- TFFR benefit recipients receive their retirement benefits in a cost effective and timely manner.
- TFFR members have access to information which will allow them to become knowledgeable about the issues and process of retirement.
- SIB clients and TFFR benefit recipients receive high quality services from the boards and staff of the office.

Policy Implemented: October 27, 1995.

Amended: January 27, 2012, May 17, 2024.

B. Organizational Beneficiaries

RIO beneficiaries (clients) are those which are statutorily defined and those which have contracted for services under statutory authority. Organizational beneficiaries during any fiscal year may be found in the annual financial report.

Policy Implemented: October 27, 1995, May 17, 2024.

C. Investment Services

The Retirement and Investment Office exists in order that:

1. SIB clients receive investment returns, consistent with their written investment policies and market variables, in a cost-effective manner and under the Prudent Investor Rule.

- a. This “End” will be evaluated based on the following:
 - i. Comparison of client fund’s rate of return NET of fees and expenses, to that of the client’s policy benchmark over a minimum evaluation period of 5 years.
 - ii. Comparison of the client fund’s risk, measured by standard deviation of NET returns, to that of the client’s policy benchmark over a minimum evaluation period of 5 years.
 - iii. Comparison of the risk adjusted performance of the client fund, NET of fees and expenses, to that of the client’s policy benchmark over a minimum evaluation period of 5 years.

2. Potential SIB clients have access to information regarding the investment services provided by the SIB.

Policy Implemented: October 27, 1995.

Amended: November 22, 1996, January 27, 2012, May 17, 2024.

D. Retirement Services

The Retirement and Investment Office exists in order that:

1. TFFR benefit recipients receive their retirement benefits in a cost-effective and timely manner.
 - a. Retirement program performance quality will be measured against the Ends and retirement policies and administrative rules adopted by the Teachers' Fund for Retirement Board.
2. TFFR members have access to information which will allow them to become knowledgeable about the issues and process of retirement.

Policy Implemented: October 27, 1995.

Amended: May 17, 2024.

E. Customer Satisfaction

The Retirement and Investment Office exists in order that:

1. SIB clients and TFFR benefit recipients receive high quality services from the boards and staff of the office.

- a. The quality of services will be assured by surveying clients at least annually and beneficiaries upon receipt of services and promptly addressing identified client/beneficiary concerns.

Policy Implemented: December 1, 1995.

Amended: May 17, 2024.

F. Fiscal Services

The Retirement and Investment Office exists in order that:

The funds and accounts of SIB clients and TFFR beneficiaries are managed prudently under applicable accounting standards and practices.

This End will be evaluated based on the following:

Internal and external audit reports, internal compliance controls, the publishing of timely and accurate financial statements, and responsiveness and accuracy of reporting to oversight authorities.

Policy Implemented: May 17, 2024.

G. Internal Audit Services

The purpose of the internal audit division is to provide an independent, objective assurance and advisory activity designed to add value and improve North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office (RIO's) operations.

This End will be evaluated based on the following:

Internal audit reports, the timely completion of internal audit activities, audit committee reports, and responsiveness and accuracy of reporting.

Policy Implemented: May 17, 2024.

Section IV Exhibits

1. Governance Policy Monitoring Summary

GOVERNANCE POLICY MONITORING

END/Policy	Reporting Responsibility	Method/Data/Frequency	Board/Committee Action
Investment Performance	CIO / Performance Consultant	Quarterly Performance Report	SIB Acceptance
Investment Benchmarks	Benchmark Consultant	Annual Benchmark Recommendation	SIB Acceptance
Investment Ends	CIO	Quarterly Investment Report	SIB Acceptance
Retirement Services Ends	DED-CRO	Annual Retirement Ends Report	SIB Acceptance
Internal Audit Ends	Supervisor Internal Audit	Quarterly Audit Activities Report	SIB Acceptance
Budget & Fiscal Conditions	CFO-COO/ State Auditor	Quarterly Budget & Fiscal Conditions Report/ Annual OMB Review	SIB Acceptance
Financial Conditions	External Auditor	Annual Financial Audit Report	SIB Audit Committee Approval
Communication & Outreach	Director Communications & Outreach	Quarterly Communications & Outreach Report	SIB Acceptance
Asset Protection	Supervisor Internal Audit/ External Auditor	Annual Executive Limitations Audit	SIB Audit Committee Approval
Compensation & Benefits	Supervisor Internal Audit	Annual Executive Limitations Audit	SIB Audit Committee Approval
Conflict of Interest/Code of Conduct/Unrelated Business Interests	Supervisor Internal Audit	Annual Executive Limitations Audit	SIB Audit Committee Approval
Executive Constraints	Supervisor Internal Audit	Annual Executive Limitations Audit	SIB Audit Committee Approval
Executive Limitations & Staff Relations	Executive Director	Reports provided at every SIB meeting	SIB Acceptance

2. Contracts Matrix

Amendments follow path of the original contract unless otherwise stated

Types of Contracts	Approve	Primary Signatory	Backup Signatories (in preference order)
SIB Clients			
Investment Services Agreement (non-statutory clients)	Industrial Commission & SIB	CIO	ED, CFO/COO
Investment Policy Statement	Investment Comm (recommend), SIB	CIO	ED, CFO/COO
SIB Investment Managers			
Contracts/Guidelines referenced in SIB Governance Manual exhibit V-1 Delegation Matrix	As outlined in Governance Manual	CIO	ED, CFO/COO
Other Communications regarding Investment Services/Transactions	Executive Team	CFO/COO or AM	CIO, ED
SIB Administrative Contracts			
Contracts referenced in SIB Governance Manual exhibit V-1 Delegation Matrix	As outlined in Governance Manual	CIO	ED, CFO/COO
Custodian Letters of Direction	CIO	AM	CFO/COO, CIO, ED
Custodian Access/Authorizations	CFO/COO	AM	CFO/COO, CIO, ED
Investment Data/Analytics and Other Investment Service Contracts	CIO (Investment Comm informed via Qtly Report)	CIO	CFO/COO, ED
TFFR Contracts			
Actuarial Services & Audits	TFFR Board	ED	DED, CFO/COO
Death Monitoring Services	TFFR Board (delegated to staff)	DED	ED, CFO/COO
Retirement Outreach Agreements (Rental for Events, etc.)	ED (TFFR Board informed via Qtly Report)	DED	ED, CFO/COO
Business Partner Agreements	ED (TFFR Board informed via Qtly Report)	DED	ED, CFO/COO
Medical Consultant	TFFR Board (delegated to staff)	ED	DED, CFO/COO
New Pension Administration System (PAS) implementation and related costs	Executive Steering Committee	DED	ED, CFO/COO
Post-implementation New PAS costs	TFFR Board	DED	ED, CFO/COO
Legacy PAS costs	ED (TFFR board informed via Qtly Report)	DED	ED, CFO/COO
Other Consultant Agreements	TFFR Board	ED	DED, CFO/COO
RIO Administrative Contracts			
Office Lease & Renewals	ED	ED	CFO/COO, DED
Internal Audit Contracts*	Audit Committee	ED	CFO/COO, DED
Communications/Graphics Contracts	ED	ED	DED, CFO/COO
Printing Contracts	ED	OMB	
Software/IT Vendor Contracts	ED	CFO/COO	ED, DED
Fiscal Access/Authorizations (State Systems)	CFO/COO	AM	CFO/COO, ED, DED

Purchase Orders/Quotes (Level 1 Procurement)	CFO/COO	CFO/COO	AM, ED, DED
Other Budgeted Service or Purchase Contracts	ED	ED	CFO/COO

*The contract for an external auditor is procured selected, and negotiated by the State Auditor, the SIB does not have the authority to select the external auditor and therefore SIB approval is not required, the RIO ED will sign the external auditor contract negotiated by the State Auditor for payment purposes.

ED	Executive Director
DED/CRO	Deputy Executive Director / Chief Retirement Officer
CIO	Chief Investment Officer
CFO/COO	Chief Financial / Operating Officer
AM	Accounting Manager

Section V: Investments

A. Fiduciary Duties

By virtue of the responsibilities assigned to the SIB by North Dakota Century Code Chapter 21-10, the members of the SIB are fiduciaries for eleven statutory funds. Through contractual obligations, fiduciary responsibility extends to contracted additional funds.

A fiduciary is a person who has discretionary authority or management responsibility for assets held in trust to which another has beneficial title or interest. The fiduciary is responsible for knowing the "prudent requirements" for the investment of trust assets. Remedial actions may be assessed against fiduciaries for violations of fiduciary duty.

North Dakota state law provides broad fiduciary guidelines for the SIB members. NDCC 21-10-07 specifies that "the state investment board shall apply the prudent investor rule in investing for funds under its supervision except that Section 21-10-07.1 requires the SIB to give preference to qualified investment firms and financial institutions with a presence in the state for legacy fund investment purposes. The "prudent investor rule" means that in making investments, the fiduciaries shall exercise the judgment and care, under the circumstances then prevailing, that an institutional investor of ordinary prudence, discretion, and intelligence exercises in the management of large investments entrusted to it, not regarding speculation but regarding the permanent disposition of funds, considering probable safety of capital as well as probable income."

Procedural prudence is a term that has evolved to describe the appropriate activities of a person (or persons) who act in a fiduciary role. Court decisions to date indicate that procedural prudence is more important in assessing fiduciary activities than actual portfolio performance. A fiduciary cannot be faulted for making the "wrong" decision provided that proper due diligence was performed.

The key to successfully discharging the SIB's fiduciary duties is the establishment of and adherence to proper due diligence procedures. While not bound by ERISA (Employee Retirement and Income Security Act of 1974), the SIB will use the procedural prudence outlined by ERISA as guidance in developing its procedures:

1. An investment policy must be established for each fund and must be in writing.
2. Plan assets must be diversified, unless under the circumstances it would be prudent not to do so.
3. Investment decisions must be made with the skill and care of a prudent expert.
4. Investment performance must be monitored.
5. Investment expenses must be controlled.
6. Prohibited transactions must be avoided.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: May 30, 1997, January 22, 1999, February 27, 2009, October 26, 2018.

B. Investment Process

The SIB believes that an investment program must be built and managed like any good business, with a clear statement of mission, overall objectives, roles and responsibilities, and policies and guidelines. Major issues to be faced by the SIB will revolve around:

- Setting asset allocation targets
- Setting appropriate benchmarks
- Finding the right managers
- Funds implementation and ongoing execution
- Monitoring the program
- Searching for appropriate new opportunities

Asset allocation targets:

- Setting appropriate benchmarks.
- Finding the right managers.
- Monitoring the program.
- Searching for appropriate new opportunities.


To ensure rigorous attention to all aspects of the investment program, the SIB follows an established investment process. This process, described by the diagram on the following page, involves three phases:

- Investment policy development/modification.
- Implementation/monitoring.
- Evaluation.

The first column of boxes describes the policy development phase, the middle column implementation/monitoring, and the last box on right evaluation. Activities associated with internal entities are shown along the top. Those associated with external entities are shown along the bottom. The middle shows activities that internal and external entities work on together.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: November 18, 2022



C. Key Program Entities and Responsibilities

The key responsibilities of the entities involved in the investment program are:

Fund Governing Bodies

1. Establish policy on investment goals and objectives.
2. Establish asset allocation or approve a pool allocation.
3. Hire actuary when required.

SIB

1. Invest funds entrusted by statute and contracted entities, delegating investment authority to either the Investment Committee or staff when deemed appropriate.
2. Set policies for appropriate investments and investment practices of entrusted funds.
3. Approve asset allocation and investment policies of participating trust funds or establish pool asset allocation previously recommended by the Investment Committee.
4. Monitor the progress of the implementation of the investment strategy.
5. Monitor the performance and risk of the investment program provided by an independent third-party performance appraisal.
6. The Board will receive program updates, training regarding investment topics, market updates, investment performance/risk, investment procedures, program costs and updates on investment execution of investment strategies from Investment Committee representatives.
7. Approve benchmark recommendations from an independent third-party benchmark consultant previously recommended by the Investment Committee.
8. Review summaries of Investment Committee proceedings.
9. Review updates regarding specific investment strategies, manager selection, termination, guideline changes and changes to instrument usage.
10. The Board may choose to have decision authority over specific Investment Committee decisions when deemed appropriate including new investment programs, strategies, techniques, instruments, and initiatives.

Investment Committee

1. The Committee will suggest and recommend changes to the SIB Investment Policy, as necessary including any delegation of authority to RIO investment staff.
2. The Committee will review periodically and approve changes and additions to the IC Guidelines and will report any revisions to the SIB.

3. The Committee may examine internally (if approved) and externally managed portfolios, individual investments, correlation among portfolios, and such other matters as the Committee deems appropriate for the purpose of understanding, measuring, controlling, monitoring, and reporting SIB investment exposure.
4. The Committee will review and approve new investment strategies (both internal direct and external), portfolios, and the use of new investment instruments prior to their implementation.
5. The Committee will oversee the review and implementation of any other new investment programs or initiatives in all SIB portfolios and will coordinate any necessary related SIB approvals.
6. For purposes of fulfilling its risk management and oversight responsibilities, the Committee will act as liaison between the RIO investment Staff and the SIB on issues concerning investment risk management.
7. The Committee will review subset of asset class strategies at least quarterly to assess established risk limits and evaluate strategy and will approve such strategies annually. The relevant Investment Staff shall be responsible for the specific investment decisions and implementations including internally (if approved) and externally managed mandates that are used to execute the approved strategies.
8. The Committee will review all compliance-related issues including compliance with statutes, administrative rules, internal and external manager investment guidelines or as otherwise requested.
9. The Committee will review asset allocation plans and strategies and will review and approve recommend any proposed changes to SIB's strategic asset allocations and fund-level active risk objectives before they are presented to the Board for approval. The Committee will provide consultation and assistance to the SIB, ED and staff concerning total fund allocation changes or rebalance decisions, as needed.
10. The Committee will review and act on all requests from investment managers, both internal (if approved) and external for waivers to provisions in their investment guidelines. On an emergency basis when it is impractical to timely convene a meeting of the Investment Committee, either the Chair or Vice Chair of the committee with the concurrence of the Chief Investment Officer of the Committee or the Executive Director, may approve a waiver. That waiver will be brought to the Committee for ratification at its next regularly scheduled meeting.
11. The Committee may review and analyze other compliance-, risk- or derivative-related (if approved) matters that are directed to the attention of the Committee by the SIB, external auditors, the Internal Audit group, and RIO investment and accounting staff.
12. The Committee will receive quarterly reports regarding transitions (if any) and shall review with the applicable Investment Staff the costs and impacts associated with the transitions. It will also from time-to- time review reports on the trading effectiveness of investment execution of internal investment strategies (if approved).
13. The Committee will review annual benchmark recommendations from a Board-appointed benchmark consultant and will provide its evaluation and recommendation to the Board.
14. The Committee will review and revise portfolio guidelines as necessary.

15. The Committee will establish procedures for the methodology and frequency of review of (i) fund, asset class and portfolio performance, (ii) performance attribution, (iii) allocation within asset classes and (iv) risk levels. Procedures will be shared with the Board.
16. The Committee will conduct periodic round table discussions of the economic and investment environment.
17. Report the investment performance of the funds to each fund's governing authority.
18. Hire and terminate money managers, custodians, and consultants.

Chief Investment Officer and RIO Staff

1. Participate on the Investment Committee and report to the Board as required.
2. Implement investment policies approved by the Investment Committee and the Board.
3. Provide research and administration for SIB client funds and client projects.
4. Recommend investment policies and procedures appropriate for governing the investment of entrusted funds.
5. Lead the development of asset allocations, investment strategies, manager mandates, manager guidelines, investment implementations and investment policies to be approved by the Investment Committee and Board.
6. Negotiate manager contract terms and conditions as delegated by the Investment Committee and Board.
7. Evaluate money manager adherence to investment objectives, mandate requirements and guidelines.
8. Provide performance reports to the Investment Committee, the Board and Boards of participating funds as a representative of the Investment Committee and the SIB.
9. Recommend hiring or terminating money managers, custodians, consultants, and other outside services needed to effectively manage the investment funds.
10. Develop and maintain appropriate accounting policies and investment systems for the funds entrusted to the SIB.
11. Recommend to the investment committee new investment strategies (both internal direct and external), portfolios, and the use of new investment instruments prior to their implementation.
12. Manage direct investment strategies approved by the investment committee including the allocation of capital within the strategies, as well as security selection, weighting, and trading.
13. Provide rebalance instructions to the fiscal team that comply with client policy statements.

Investment Consultant

1. Measure money manager performance and monitor adherence to investment goals, objectives, and policies.
2. Assist in the annual evaluation of program policies, results, and the development of annual work plan.

3. Work with Staff to develop the asset allocation or asset/liability studies.
4. Provide information for requested money manager searches.
5. Assist in development of investment policies and manager structure and rebalancing guidelines.
6. Extension of staff for special projects.

Actuary

1. Assist fund governing bodies in developing benefit and funding policies.
2. Measure actuarial soundness of plan.
3. Perform experience studies as requested by plan sponsor.
4. Provide liability projections as needed.
5. Conduct annual evaluation of program policies, results, and assist in development of annual work plan.
6. Assist in implementation of annual work plan.

Auditor

1. Measure, validate, and offer an opinion on agency financial statements and management.
2. Assist in developing appropriate accounting policies and procedures.
3. Bring technical competence, sound business judgment, integrity, and objectivity to the financial reporting process.

Master Custodian

1. Provide safekeeping of all securities purchased by managers on behalf of the SIB.
2. Provide global custody services.
3. Collect interest, dividend, and principal payments in a timely manner.
4. Provide for timely settlement of securities.
5. Price all securities and post transactions daily.
6. Maintain short-term investment vehicles for investment of cash not invested by SIB managers. Sweep all manager accounts daily to ensure all available cash is invested.
7. Provide monthly, quarterly, and annual accounting reports for posting to RIO's general ledger.
8. May manage a securities lending program to enhance income.
9. Provide electronic access to accounting reports.
10. Provide other services that assist with the monitoring of managers and investments.

Portfolio Managers

1. Manage portfolios as assigned by the SIB.
2. Provide liquidity, as required, in a timely and cost-efficient manner.
3. Vote proxies.
4. Provide educational assistance to board.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: February 27, 2009, November 18, 2022.

D. Investment Policy Development – Trust Funds

All funds under SIB management must have a written investment policy. Investment policy forms the cornerstone of the management of any investment program. A sound investment policy ensures that fund assets are managed in a disciplined process, based on long-term fundamental investment principles.

For the larger, more complex trust funds, consultants are used to assist in policy and asset allocation development. Their specialized skills are needed to model and analyze the many variables that go into determining a proper asset allocation.

Policy development starts with the specification of investment objectives, constraints, and preferences. Fund trustees must address a number of factors:

- What is the fund's objective(s)?
- What is the board's tolerance for risk or threshold for under-performance?
- What are the fund's liquidity needs and cash flow characteristics?
- What are the board's asset class preferences and constraints?
- What is the actuarial earnings assumption?
- What are the legal or political considerations?
- What is the investment time horizon?

Since the ultimate objective of fund investments is to provide for the payment of future capital needs, claims, or other monetary requirements, it is essential that the investment policy be developed within the context of fund liabilities or spending policy. The development of investment policy, therefore, is always unique to the circumstances of each fund.

Complex actuarial models are used to quantify the liabilities of the pension plans and Workforce Safety and Insurance. Internal entities develop cash flow forecasts for the smaller funds based on past claims or anticipated expenditures.

Asset allocation optimizations are used to quantify the range of future investment outcomes. Investment consultants contribute needed expertise on capital market expectations and in identifying the risks associated with a particular asset allocation.

For some funds, the risk/return tradeoffs of alternative portfolios are not well represented by expected returns and standard deviation. More important are the expected results for required sponsor and participant contributions and funded ratios over time. Asset/liability modeling is the tool that allows the governing boards to examine and assess the tradeoffs leading to an appropriate investment policy.

The results of the optimizations are a description of the range of financial results that might realistically be expected to occur. These results provide the basis for determining an asset allocation. In accordance with NDCC 21-10-02.1, RIO staff works with each fund's governing authority, and consultants as needed, to develop an investment policy, which includes an appropriate asset allocation, for each of the statutory funds. Contracted entities are responsible for their own policy development. Pooling of funds is allowed by statute. A pooled allocation will have an investment policy that can be approved by each fund's governing authority.

Each policy, as a minimum, will include the following information:

1. Fund characteristics and constraints.
 - a. An explanation as to the purpose of the portfolio and its legal structure.
 - b. Size of portfolio and the likelihood and amount of future contributions and disbursements
 - c. Participant demographics when applicable.
 - d. Fiscal health of fund.
 - e. Constraints.
 - f. Unique circumstances.
2. Responsibilities of SIB.
3. Investment objectives.
4. Standards of investment performance.
5. Asset allocation policy and guidelines.
6. Evaluation and review.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: February 27, 2009, November 18, 2022.

E. Investment Policy Development – Investment Pools

Asset Class Implementation

The SIB may internally manage investment of funds as by the Investment Committee and if there is a policy approval for internal investment management by the Board. Within each asset class there are numerous manager strategies, internal and external that may be employed by the SIB to affect exposure to the various asset classes as well as achieve an excess return to the policy benchmark.

Investment Pools

Investment pools may be defined for asset allocations as well as individual asset classes, sub-asset classes, manager portfolios or transactions and unitized for allocation to client funds.

SIB investment pool policy statements will define the following:

1. Strategic objectives.
2. Performance objectives.
 - a. Appropriate capital market benchmarks.
 - b. Excess return targets, after payment of investment management fees.
 - c. Peer-group ranking.
 - d. Risk characteristics.
 - e. Termination factors.
3. Portfolio constraints.
 - a. Quality of securities/portfolio (security – BAA/portfolio – AA).
 - b. Quality held (maximum in company/industry/economic sector).
 - c. Other specific restrictions if applicable (ADRs, 144A securities, prohibited transactions, etc.).
4. Investment structure.
 - a. Percent of assets per manager cycle.
5. Ranges for rebalancing. Control Procedures
 - a. Duties and responsibilities of the SIB
 - b. Duties and responsibilities of money managers.
 - c. Reporting requirements.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: February 27, 2009, November 18, 2022.

F. Monitoring

The SIB will ensure that appropriate monitoring mechanisms are in place at all times. The three basic mechanisms are:

- Accounting
- Auditing
- Performance Measurement

The primary objective of these functions is to provide useful information to decision makers (fiduciaries and legislators). These monitoring functions are needed to keep track of assets and manager activity and to control the asset mix. Different aspects of these activities will be conducted internally by RIO staff and externally by the master custodian, auditors, and investment consultants.

Accounting

The master custodian will provide RIO staff with such accounting detail and at such frequency as the staff deems necessary to fulfill the SIB's reporting requirements.

From this information, RIO staff will generate monthly and annual financial statements for each of the trust funds managed by the SIB.

RIO staff is responsible to ensure the proper valuation of all assets. Formal valuation policies must be developed and implemented utilizing industry best practices and GAAP accounting requirements.

Compliance

RIO management is responsible for developing and implementing compliance procedures utilizing industry best practices. A summary of compliance procedures and results will be presented to the SIB annually.

Auditing

The North Dakota State Auditor is responsible for the external audit of RIO. They may assign this responsibility to an outside firm which they select by way of the RFP process. The SIB Audit Committee may make recommendations to the State Auditor concerning the selection, evaluation, and termination of this firm. This firm conducts an extensive financial and management audit for each fiscal year. The audited financial statements are filed with the Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee.

RIO has a dedicated internal audit function that reports to the SIB Audit Committee. The internal audit function encompasses both the investment and retirement divisions of RIO. The SIB Audit Committee has oversight responsibilities as outlined in the SIB Audit Committee charter.

Performance Measurement and Reporting

The third element of monitoring entails measuring the performance of the individual investment managers and the total fund performance of each of the funds under the SIB. The SIB will retain reputable investment consultants or performance measurement services to provide comprehensive quarterly performance measurement information. This information will include data on the capital markets, other plan sponsors, and other investment managers. Performance results for SIB accounts will be calculated from data provided by the master custodian and compared to relevant capital market benchmarks, other public funds, manager peer groups, and investment goals specified in the asset class investment policy. Time periods covered by the report may vary but generally will include the most recent quarter, last 12 months, last three years, five years, and longer time periods (as data is available).

RIO staff will use appropriate sources to compile monthly performance reports for each of the funds under the SIB that show recent performance and asset mix.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: February 27, 2009, February 25, 2011, May 17, 2024.

G. Proxy Voting

STATEMENT OF POLICY

It shall be the policy of the State Investment Board (SIB) to vote all proxies appurtenant to shares held in the various plans administered by the Board, and to vote said shares in a manner that best serves the system's interests. Specifically, all shares are to be voted with the interest of preserving or enhancing share value. The Board endorses the Department of Labor opinion that proxies have economic power which shareholders are obligated to exercise to improve corporate performance. The Board further recognized that proxy issues are frequently complex, requiring expert guidance; accordingly, it has adopted procedures that employ such experts.

The objectives of these policies are as follows:

1. Exercise the value empowered in proxies.
2. Maintain or improve share value for the exclusive benefit of the participants.

PROCEDURES

DISTINCTION OF RESPONSIBILITIES

Master Custodian

The system's master custodian shall be responsible for timely receipt and distribution of proxy ballots to the appropriate investment management institutions.

Managers

The managers shall be responsible for promptly voting all proxies pursuant to the Board's policies, and in keeping with the managers' best judgments.

Staff

Staff, in concert with the master custodian and the managers, shall be responsible for monitoring the receipt and voting of all proxies.

Board

The Board shall administer and enforce its policies. This administration and enforcement required reporting from responsible persons, as discussed in the following.

REPORTING

Master Custodian

The master custodian shall report quarterly in writing on all pertinent proxy issues, including (1) receipt of proxy material; (2) nature of issues; (3) due date; (4) names of managers and dates forwarded; and (5) deficiency reports covering proxies that should have been received but were not.

Managers

Managers shall report quarterly in writing on how proxies have been voted, with explanations given whenever the Board's guidelines have not been followed.

Staff

Internal compliance staff shall report annually on the efficiency of the process, the portion of total proxies that have actually been voted, and compliance with Board directives.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: February 27, 2009, October 26, 2018, November 18, 2022.

H. Implementation – Investment Manager Selection

The SIB hires investment managers with the intention of maintaining long-standing relationships. Care is taken to select managers for defined roles based on their strengths in designated areas. The hiring process is done in accordance with all applicable state and federal laws.

The investment management business has rapidly evolved since the 1990's. It is recognized that many viable firms have been formed as the result of spin-offs or start-ups and may not have a

traditional long-term investment performance history in accordance with the following guidelines. There has also been a tremendous increase in the types of strategies available to institutional investors resulting in the need for flexibility in the establishment of investment criteria. Subject to the case-by-case acceptance of deviation by the SIB members, money managers must meet the following minimum selection criteria for inclusion in a manager search:

- Must be a registered investment adviser, bank, insurance company, or investment company (mutual fund). Should provide ADV Part II (registered investment adviser) prospectus (investment company) or comparable information (bank or insurance company).
- Provide at least five years of actual quarterly performance data that is time weighted a representative composite of accounts and meets Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS).
- Provide information that illustrates the key investment personnel have been together for at least five years and the capabilities of the firm can handle the current level of investment activity.
- Able to articulate the firm's investment strategies and philosophy in a manner understandable by the Board and provide a statement that the strategy has been followed for at least five years.
- Disclose any pending or past litigation or censure.
- Be willing to acknowledge their fiduciary status in writing (mutual funds are exempted from this requirement).

The following steps will be followed in the selection process, subject to modification relative to investment strategy and manager search circumstances:

Develop a profile of the type of manager needed. This is based on the investment goals and asset allocations. Included in the profile are such things as:

1. Quantitative characteristics, such as GIPS-compliant composite return data, risk-adjusted rates of return and relevant portfolio characteristics.
 2. Qualitative characteristics, such as key personnel, investment philosophy, investment strategy, research orientation, decision making process, and risk controls.
 3. Organizational factors such as type and size of firm, ownership structure, client servicing capabilities, ability to obtain and retain clients, and fees.
- Staff will provide a written report to the Investment Committee as required on the due diligence process conducted during the selection process. This report will include selection steps followed and process steps excluded.
 - Consultant and/or staff use the profile to screen their data base for managers that meet SIB criteria.

- Consultant and/or staff reduce the group to the top candidates and prepare a summary report. The report will contain pertinent data on each of the candidates.
- When appropriate, on-site visits may be made by staff and board members to the candidates' home offices. Visits by board members to potential manager sites must have board approval.
- When appropriate the Investment Officer will conduct fact-finding pre-interviews. SIB trustees and RIO staff will receive notice of these pre-interviews.

Interviews are conducted with each of the finalists in Bismarck. All are required to bring the potential portfolio manager to the interview. Particular attention is paid to gaining an understanding of the investment process and determining the manager's compatibility with the SIB's requirements and objectives.

The Chief Investment Officer and staff will schedule manager interviews with the SIB. Following these interviews, the Chief Investment Officer and staff will make recommendations to the SIB on manager selection.

- The SIB will select the investment manager by majority vote.
- Manager(s) selected by the SIB are notified immediately by RIO staff. Unsuccessful candidates are notified by consultant.
- Investment management contracts are reviewed and finalized, sent to the Attorney General for review, and executed.
- Accounts are set up at the master custodian and on the internal general ledger.
- Consultant is notified when to begin the measurement of the investment performance of the manager(s).

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995

Amended: February 27, 2009, November 18, 2022, May 17, 2024.

I. Implementation – Portfolio Rebalancing

Portfolio Rebalancing

The need to rebalance the portfolio can arise from a new asset allocation or because market activity has driven the actual distribution of assets away from the desired mix. To minimize transaction costs from rebalancing, RIO develops appropriate ranges around the target mix (which are specified in the policy statement). Rigidly adhered to, such a policy is a valuable risk control tool. By maintaining

asset mix within reasonably tight ranges, the SIB avoids making unintentional "bets" in the asset mix and avoids market- timing decisions.

All funds the SIB oversees have an asset allocation with minimum and maximum limits assigned. RIO's rebalancing policy requires the asset mix to be determined at the end of each month and that appropriate rebalancing takes place.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: November 18, 2022.

J. Evaluation

The Board and the Investment Committee will follow an annual evaluation cycle for the investment program to ensure systematic review of investment policies and performance results and the development and implementation of corrective action plans. Evaluation of the program seeks to answer such questions as:

- Are all investment goals being met?
- What has worked and what has not?
- Have changes occurred in the capital markets, plan design, or board philosophy to warrant changes in investment policy?
- Are money managers meeting our expectations?
- Is continued confidence in the money managers warranted?
- Are accounting practices sound and fair to participating funds?
- Is service delivered in the most cost-effective manner?

The SIB's consultants play a key role in helping to answer some of these questions. The external auditor's report provides insight on accounting practices and cost effectiveness.

Evaluation of Money Managers

Achievement of the SIB's performance goals hinges on the success of the investment strategies and money managers it employs. Evaluation of each money manager must consider the following:

- Has the manager achieved the SIB's performance objectives?
- Has the firm adhered to the investment philosophy for which it was hired?

- Have there been any organizational or personnel changes that may negatively affect future performance?
- Are areas of concern being adequately addressed?
- Can the manager perform well in the future, regardless of whether extraordinary events, long-term performance, and/or short-term performance argue for termination?

These criteria are assessed by quantitative and qualitative means:

- Analyses provided by the investment consultant.
- Annual meetings with each manager in Bismarck, onsite at the managers' offices or virtually to discuss performance, investment philosophy, organizational changes, economic outlook, and areas of concern.

Longer periods of time are better than shorter time periods when assessing a manager's performance. Ideally, performance should be assessed over a market cycle. Market cycles have varying lengths but have historically averaged 5-7 years. The SIB will use a minimum five-year period to evaluate manager performance against long-term performance standards. Long-term performance standards will be a market index that the manager has previously agreed to be measured against.

Shorter-term performance standards will also be established for each money manager. These standards will incorporate a minimum three-year measurement period and measure the manager against a previously agreed-upon peer group or style market index.

Long-term performance standards, short-term performance standards, extraordinary events, and termination factors will be incorporated in the written asset class investment policies.

Evaluation of Program Costs

Costs will be broken out by internal administration, investment consultants, master custodian, and external manager fees. Reports will detail this information by investment pool, managers, and by fund.

These costs will be compared to other funds on an annual basis and generally include a fee study conducted by an experienced investment consultant every two years. Staff is encouraged to identify other cost-comparison sources which may include the engagement of specialized fee consultants to conduct in-depth fee reviews on a periodic basis, subject to board review and approval.

Policy Implemented: September 20, 1995.

Amended: October 26, 2018, July 22, 2022, November 18, 2022

K. Performance Related Investment Manager Review

The North Dakota State Investment Board (SIB) recognizes the inherent importance of assessing an investment manager because of performance. Thus, the following process of evaluation includes quantitative *and* qualitative input. This procedure is structured to assist the SIB in recognizing potentially distressed investment managers, initiating a formal review process, and providing guidelines for termination if necessary. Note: The “Manager Review” terminology or concept is not meant to cause the manager to make substantive changes in investment philosophy, style, or strategies. Rather, it is intended to define a period of close scrutiny of the manager’s activities, circumstances, and investment results.

Factors which may result in a Manager Review:

- Significant changes in organizational structure
- Significant changes in investment philosophy
- Significant deviation in portfolio management from stated philosophy (style drift)
- Substandard investment performance
- Diminished confidence in manager

Manager Review Procedures:

- Information is submitted to, or generated by, the Board which initiates consideration of a Manager Review.
- If warranted, the Board takes action to initiate a Manager Review.

Based on the situation and with input from the Chief Investment Officer, the SIB suggests appropriate action to facilitate the Review. Action may include telephone conferencing, local or on-site visits with manager, investigation by consultants, appearance of manager before a select committee of the SIB, or appearance of the manager before the SIB. The Chief Investment Officer and staff will initiate an investigation of situation based on direction from SIB.

The Chief Investment Officer and staff report’s findings to SIB at a subsequent meeting.

After considering findings of the Manager Review, SIB may:

- Remove manager from Review status
- Suggest additional action to facilitate Manager Review
- Relieve manager of duties

In the case where continued investigation is warranted, the Chief Investment Officer and staff will report new information and/or recommendations to the SIB as appropriate. It will be considered the responsibility of the Chief Investment Officer to maintain awareness and consideration of the Review until the situation is resolved.

It is important to recognize that situations occasionally arise of such a serious nature that a Manager Review process must be immediately initiated. In such cases, the Chief Investment Officer is

granted the authority to place an investment manager under Review, including the freezing of assets if necessary, and report on such action at the next meeting of the State Investment Board.

In every case, the Chief Investment Officer and staff is responsible for documenting the Manager Review process including recognition of:

- Reason of Manager Review
- Action taken to investigate the situation
- Report on results of investigation
- Report on resultant action taken by SIB
- Notification of investigation and conclusions to manager and consultants

A complete record of Manager Review activities and history shall be maintained at the ND Retirement and Investment Office.

Policy Implemented: June 27, 1997.

Amended: May 17, 2024.

L. Bank of North Dakota Match Loan Program

The SIB has a commitment to the Bank of North Dakota Match Loan Program. The purpose of the program is to encourage and attract financially strong companies to North Dakota. The program is targeted to manufacturing, processing and value-added industries.

The SIB provides capital to the program by purchasing Certificates of Deposit (CD's) from the Bank of North Dakota. The CDs are guaranteed by the state, typically have seven to fifteen year maturities and pay interest pegged to US Treasury notes.

The source of funding for CD's shall be determined by the Chief Investment Officer and staff; that funding to be from the most appropriate source consistent with liquidity and relative yield and return objectives and constraints.

Policy Implemented: April 24, 1998.

Amended: February 27, 2009, May 17, 2024.

M. Accepting New Clients

NDCC 21-10-06 authorizes the SIB to provide investment services to any state or political subdivision of the state.

When a request is received by staff from a potential new investor requesting investment services from the State Investment (SIB), the following steps shall be followed.

1. Staff will conduct initial discussions with the potential client regarding type of fund, risk tolerance, size of fund, services to be provided, costs, etc.
2. Staff will recommend that an Asset/Liability study be conducted by the potential client if one has not been done recently. This discussion will include a description of the asset classes available for investment with the SIB to be included in their study.
3. If the potential client is still interested in participating in the SIB program, staff will bring the preliminary request to the SIB for acceptance. It shall be the policy of the SIB to take the following into consideration when determining if a new investor request will be accepted.
 - a. Internal staff administrative capacity.
 - b. Compatibility of new investor's goals and risk tolerances with the existing SIB program structure.
 - c. Whatever other factors the SIB determines to be appropriate to the decision.
4. If the SIB chooses to accept the preliminary request, staff will provide the necessary template documents to the potential client for review and completion. These documents include a contract for services and investment guidelines.
5. Once documentation is completed, staff will request to have the issue included on the Industrial Commission's agenda for their approval. Copies of all documentation will be provided for their review.
6. If approved by the Industrial Commission, final documentation will be presented to the SIB for final acceptance.
7. If accepted, staff will work with the new client to set up transfer of funds and implementation of asset allocation as directed. All new clients will be brought in as of the last day of a calendar quarter.
8. Fees will be charged with the intention of covering all associated costs as described in RIO Fiscal
9. Management procedure "Investment Fee Allocations".

Policy Implemented: November 20, 2009

Amended: May 17, 2024.

N. Securities Monitoring and Litigation

General Purpose

1. The North Dakota State Investment Board ("SIB") is a fiduciary for assets held in trust for the benefit of SIB clients, including their beneficiaries.

2. In order to carry out its fiduciary duty to prudently invest and diversify the assets of the various investment funds, the SIB invests considerable assets in global public securities markets.
3. The efficient and effective deployment of plan assets requires that in seeking returns market risks must be prudently assumed and managed. Investing in publicly traded securities in regulated markets under accounting, disclosure and business practice laws and regulations provides general, but not perfect assurance that the information forming the basis for investments is accurate, conforms with accepted accounting practices, and is not distorted due to misfeasance, malfeasance or nonfeasance, or the timing of information disclosures by persons or entities with the ability to affect market prices of the investment securities.
4. Legal action is sometimes necessary to attempt to recover all or part of losses the funds may incur due to alleged improper action or inaction which results in the impairment of the value of the funds' security holdings.
5. Most such actions will be prosecuted through class action litigation whether or not the SIB takes an active role as a plaintiff or a passive role as a member of a certified class of plaintiffs. Any ultimate award or settlement from a class action will be ratably allocated among legitimate claimants.
6. The SIB will generally only consider pursuing active participation in securities actions when such a role is expected to add value by enhancing the prospect for recovery, increasing the amount of recovery, assuring more efficient and effective prosecution of the case, or identifying and addressing corporate governance issues through litigation.

For purposes of this Policy, "active participation" means seeking status as lead plaintiff, co-lead plaintiff, or filing separate legal action.

Non-Active Recovery and Filing

1. SIB will require as part of its agreement with its custodial bank or other designated agent, that adequate securities class action monitoring is maintained on an ongoing basis, sufficient to assure that most of the actual awards and settlements for such cases are tracked and identified and that proof of claim forms, including supporting documentation, will be properly and timely filed.
2. SIB may engage one or more legal firms that specialize in prosecuting security class-action cases; any such engagement is subject to the special appointment requirements of N.D.C.C. § 54-12-08. For these purposes only, such firm(s) may be granted ongoing access to security holdings information through the custodian bank or other designated agent.
3. An agreement with any law firm for non-litigation services will not commit SIB to employing said firm in the event that it seeks to represent SIB as an active participant in any securities related litigation. Such representation must be effected by a separate retainer agreement between the SIB and said firm, or another, depending on such factors as the potential monetary scope, the nature of the case and industry specialty that may be required, the

allocation of current or past cases among candidate firms, the likely duration and cost of prosecuting such a case, retainer fees or contingency splits, the venue in which the case is to be filed, and other considerations.

4. The custodial bank or other designated agent will be required to provide the Retirement and Investment Office (“RIO”) with periodic reports that detail class action cases monitored, claims filed, and award or settlement distributions received. RIO will maintain these records and provide an update to the SIB or Securities Litigation Committee (Committee) with regards to accounting information on distributions received on claims filed by the custodian bank or other designated agent on our behalf.

Active Participation in Cases

1. The Executive Director will initiate active participation in securities cases only upon prior review and approval of the SIB or Committee. Before bringing any recommendations to the SIB or Committee, the Executive Director, with significant assistance from legal counsel from the Office of the Attorney General, will assess the merits and prospects for active participation by reference to the criteria and factors outlined in this section.
2. Decision Criteria and Factors:
 - a. The decision to participate in an active capacity in security litigation should be based on the totality of the circumstances. Dollar loss amounts are important, but not the sole or overriding factor to consider in making such recommendations by the Executive Director, or determinations by the SIB or Committee.
 - b. Potential losses to SIB clients must be significant in order to warrant participation as a lead plaintiff, co-lead plaintiff, or separate litigant in U.S. or Canadian cases. Generally, in cases where the potential loss does not exceed the \$5 million, the SIB will generally avoid active participation.
 - c. The *prima facie* merits of the claim for loss, and the factual basis for the action, recognizing that the full discovery process will not commence until the class has been certified by the court in which such case is to be filed.
 - d. The availability of witnesses, and possible support that may be obtained from investment managers, consultants, and the custodial bank through discovery.
 - e. The potential that any defendants or insurers will be able to pay an adequate recovery to the class, without impairing the value of any current security holdings SIB may yet hold in the issuer in the portfolio.
 - f. The ability of the law firm recommending action on the part of SIB to prosecute the case effectively, in the venue where such case is likely to be filed, and the experience of the firm in managing such cases individually or in partnership with other firms.

- g. Potential long-term benefits from corporate governance changes from pursuing litigation.
 - h. The ability of SIB to serve as a fiduciary on behalf of all class members in the case, especially in relative terms to other institutional investors that may be considering the same case.
 - i. Potential costs that may be incurred. Special consideration must be given to any case that must be filed in a non-U.S. venue under the “Morrison” criteria established by the U. S. Supreme Court in a 2010 decision, since costs of litigation and potential liabilities of unsuccessful claims may be significant.
 - j. Current workload and staffing resources required for the fulfillment of SIB’s primary member service functions, and whether participation might displace time and staff resources needed for core business functions.
3. Decision Criteria and Factors for cases filed in a non-U.S. venue: In addition to the Criteria and Factors set forth in Subsection 2, the SIB or Committee may consider the following:
- a. The proposed funding arrangements for the action.
 - b. Evaluate the merits and risks of the case in light of the law of the jurisdiction in which the action would be brought. Generally, in cases where the potential loss does not exceed the Jurisdictional Thresholds referenced in Exhibit A, the SIB will avoid opt-in or group litigation participation.

Roles in Managing & Monitoring Litigation

1. The SIB or Committee will make the final determination of whether it is in the SIB’s best interest to pursue active participation in any case and whether to engage any law firm and the terms of such engagement.
2. Decisions regarding the conduct and implementation of the SIB’s or Committee’s decision to participate will be the responsibility of the Executive Director, or an approved member of the management staff if he so delegates. When feasible and advisable, the Executive Director shall seek advice and direction from the SIB or Committee on strategic and legal issues that may arise in prosecuting the action on behalf of the SIB and its clients. The Executive Director shall timely report to the SIB or Committee on the progress of the litigation.
3. The Executive Director shall be responsible for management of the relationship with any portfolio monitoring law firm or organization for such purpose. Based on the need for additional coverage, the Executive Director and Committee will determine whether one or several firms are needed to fulfill the goals of this Policy and may terminate such monitoring agreements as judgment advises.
4. Any agreement for portfolio monitoring services that includes a fee or subscription cost must first be approved by the SIB or Committee before execution by the Executive Director.

Policy Review

1. The Committee and SIB shall review this policy annually to ensure that it remains relevant and appropriate. Exhibit A

Non-US Opt-In and Group Litigation Jurisdictional Thresholds

Jurisdictional Description	Threshold
Passive/very low risk jurisdictions, simple registration or claim filing (no participation in litigation required, strong anonymity, very low costs) including, but potentially not limited to: Australia, Israel, Netherlands (including Dutch Foundations), regulatory funds (e.g. Compensation Schemes in UK)	None
Low risk jurisdictions (no discovery, low cost) including, but potentially not limited to: Japan	\$1 million
Moderate risk jurisdictions (moderate cost, funded/insured to protect from cost shifting, some restricted discovery, not fully public) including but potentially not limited to: Germany, Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, Denmark, Spain, Finland, France, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Korea, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Norway, New Zealand, Portugal, Sweden, and Thailand	\$5 million
High risk jurisdictions (potential in-person discovery, no anonymity, uncapped fees) including, but potentially not limited to: Taiwan, United Kingdom, Singapore, Brazil	\$10 million

Jurisdictional Thresholds are developed in consultation with legal counsel including other designated agents which are experts in global securities litigation matters.

Policy Implemented: November 20, 2015

Policy Amended: April 27, 2018, May 24, 2019

M. Incentive Compensation Program

NDCC 54-52.5-04 authorizes the retirement and investment office to develop an incentive compensation program for positions necessary for the investment of funds under control of the board. The board must approve the plan provisions annually and the agency must report to legislative management on the status of the program each interim. The provisions of the plan may be found in Exhibit V-2.

Policy Implemented: May 17, 2024.

Section V Exhibits

1. Delegation Matrix

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Recommend</u>	<u>Approve for Recommendation</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Oversight</u>	<u>Implement</u>
Investment Policy	Staff/IC	IC	Board	IC and Board	Staff
Asset Allocation	Staff	IC	Board	IC and Board	Staff
Third Party Performance Assessment	Third Party			IC and Board	
Program Updates/Training				IC and Board	Staff/IC
Benchmarks	Third Party	IC	Board	IC and Board	Staff
IC Proceedings		IC	Board	Board	Staff
Any Authority			Board	Board	Staff/IC
Manager Guidelines	IC/Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
Monitor Funds and Portfolios				IC and Board	Staff
New Investment Strategies	Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
New Investment Portfolios	Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
New Investment Instruments	Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
Compliance	Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
Waivers	Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
Transitions			Staff	IC and Board	Staff
Rebalance			Staff	IC and Board	Staff
Hire/Terminate Managers	Staff		IC	IC and Board	Staff
Hire/Terminate Benchmark, Hurdle Rate Consultants	Staff	IC	Board	IC and Board	Staff
Hire/Terminate Custodians, Staff Consultants	Staff		Staff	IC and Board	Staff
Negotiate Manager Contracts				IC and Board	Staff
Manage Approved Direct Strategies				IC and Board	Staff

2. Incentive Compensation Program Policy

Executive Summary

North Dakota Century Code Chapter 54-52.5 provides that the North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office may develop an incentive compensation program for full-time equivalent investment and fiscal operations positions necessary for the management of the investment of funds under the control of the state investment board.

In carrying out its responsibilities, the Board has developed and administers an incentive compensation plan that reflects careful consideration of the following:

- To achieve its long-term strategic and investment objectives, RIO must have high-quality investment management staff.
- A reasonable and competitive incentive compensation plan is critical to attracting and retaining high quality staff.
- Staff should be motivated to earn the highest possible returns for RIO at reasonable costs and controlled levels of risk.
- Given that RIO needs to provide competitive pay to attract and retain qualified staff, a significant portion of total pay opportunities should be provided through performance-based incentives, a practice that is universally accepted in the financial marketplace. Under RIO's incentive compensation plan:
 - Investment-related awards should be earned only when net investment performance is above defined benchmarks (i.e., when value is created for client funds).
 - The greatest share of the excess value should accrue to the client funds, not to RIO staff.

The incentive compensation plan is rigorously managed by RIO's Executive Review and Compensation Committee (ERCC) to ensure compliance with all applicable statutes and related rules and guidelines.

Authority

North Dakota Century Code Chapter 54-52.5 provides that the North Dakota Retirement and Investment Office may develop an incentive compensation program for full-time equivalent investment and fiscal operations positions necessary for the management of the investment of funds under the control of the state investment board. This document specifies the policies and procedures related to the administration of annual incentive compensation.

This incentive compensation plan (the Plan) provides for payment of incentive compensation awards to full-time equivalent investment and fiscal operations positions necessary for the management of the investment of funds under the control of the state investment board (Participants) and supersedes all prior incentive compensation plans and/or arrangements for Participants. Participants under this Plan include all

unclassified investment services related staff as may be determined by the SIB, ERCC, and Executive Director. The Effective Date of the Plan is July 1, 2024. Each plan year starts at the beginning of the fiscal year on July 1.

Plan Objectives

As developed and adopted by the Board, this Plan is designed to:

1. Help attract and retain talented investment professionals.
2. Help RIO earn the highest possible investment returns at a reasonable cost and at controlled levels of risk.
3. Reward long-term investment performance.
4. Reflect the RIO client fund above-benchmark, net of all performance.
5. Motivate staff to make good decisions for RIO client funds, including implementation decisions related to asset allocation.
6. Foster a collaborative approach to investing RIO's assets under management.
7. Reward measurable and achievable performance.
8. Be clear and easily communicated in terms of the Plan's objectives, design features and associated incentive compensation opportunities.
9. Be perceived as fair by RIO's employees and potential recruits.

Administration and Management

The SIB reserves the right to modify, terminate, and/or rescind any or all of the compensation schedules, provisions, policies, and procedures contained in this and all supporting documents at any time. This document describes a policy and does not provide a contract, guarantee of payment, guarantee of participation in the Plan in subsequent years, or guarantee of employment among RIO, the Board, and the Participants. Should an error in calculation or in data be discovered before or after the award distribution, RIO reserves the right to make an adjustment and recover any incentive compensation award distributed based on the erroneous data or calculation.

The Executive Director will administer the Plan with oversight by the ERCC. The Executive Director may delegate certain administrative responsibilities to other employees at RIO, including the Chief Investment Officer and the Chief Financial Officer/Chief Operating Officer.

Compensation plan participants may present questions related to the Plan to the Executive Director. The Executive Director will work with the appropriate persons to answer such questions. The Executive Director, ERCC, and SIB will have full discretion to conclusively decide all questions or matters relating to the interpretation of the provisions and administration of this Plan.

The SIB must approve any question or matter whose resolution requires a material modification to the Plan, such as a change to the performance standards or maximum award levels. Any such amendments or changes to the Plan may be proposed by the Executive Director or the ERCC but must be recommended by the ERCC and approved by the SIB.

Eligibility

Positions that are eligible for incentive compensation are full-time equivalent investment and fiscal operations positions necessary for the management of the investment of funds under the control of the state investment board as set forth in this plan. Any temporary employment or project positions

are not eligible. Plan participation is determined based on employment status and the Executive Director's assessment of the position's impact on RIO's overall investment performance. Participants must have worked in a covered position at least three full consecutive calendar months during the year to be eligible for incentive compensation under the Plan, and incentive compensation will be paid on a pro-rata basis if not employed the entire fiscal year. The Executive Director will confirm any new positions that will be eligible under the Plan during the next fiscal year.

Employment at RIO in good standing on the day of payment is a pre-requisite for receiving any incentive compensation payment, except in the case of retirement, disability, death or otherwise as provided below. For purposes of this Plan, "employment in good standing" means (a) the Participant's performance is rated above "Developing" in the Participant's most recent performance review, (b) the Participant is not on a performance improvement plan.

Eligibility upon Separation

Generally, a Participant must be employed by RIO as of the date the incentive compensation is paid out in order to be eligible to receive the payment. In the case of disability or death occurring during the fiscal year, any Board-approved incentive compensation amount may be paid to the Participant, the amount to be determined by the Executive Director, subject to approval by the SIB, based on the time worked during the fiscal year and subject to the Participant's termination meeting the qualifications in the next paragraph, if not employed as of the date of payment. These payments will be made at the same time as any other incentive compensation award. No incentive compensation will be awarded if the Participant was employed for less than three consecutive months during the fiscal year in which the disability or death occurred.

If a Participant terminated employment prior to payment of an award, the full amount of the incentive compensation award will be paid to the Participant (or beneficiary in the case of death) only upon the following conditions:

- If the Participant's separation is due to the Participant's disability.
- If the Participant's separation is due to the Participant's death.
- If the Participant's termination is due to reasons other than the Participant's disability or death, and his/her last day of active employment is prior to the payment date then no incentive compensation award will be payable to the Participant.

Plan Concepts/Mechanics

The Plan's terms and conditions are described in this document. A summary of the Plan's overall mechanics is as follows:

- Prior to or near the beginning of each fiscal year, Participants will be assigned a maximum incentive compensation opportunity, which effective as of the Plan year will be expressed as a percentage of his or her base salary at the beginning of the fiscal year (or the Participant's start date if employed after the start of the fiscal year). Maximum incentive compensation opportunities will vary by position based on differing levels of accountability and responsibility.
- Maximum incentive compensation opportunities will be weighted or allocated to specific quantitative and discretionary Plan components. Several Plan

- components are based on Value Added. Value Added (VA) means the weighted average of outperformance of funds as described within the plan.
- After year-end, depending on performance, awards will be determined for each stand-alone Plan component.
 - Notwithstanding any other provision in this Plan and regardless of any incentive compensation award calculations hereunder, no incentive compensation award shall be made unless and until approved by the SIB, in its sole discretion. The SIB may award, adjust (up or down), modify or deny any incentive compensation amounts calculated pursuant to the Plan. All incentive compensation awards hereunder are discretionary.

Step 1: Set Each Participant’s Maximum Incentive Compensation Opportunity

Prior to or near the beginning of each fiscal year, or the Participant’s start date if employed after the start of the fiscal year, Participants will be assigned a maximum incentive compensation opportunity, which will be expressed as a percentage of his or her base salary. Unless approved by the Executive Director, maximum incentive compensation opportunities will vary by the position held for most of the year (i.e., position held through June 30 for existing employees) and based on differing levels of accountability and responsibility.

Current maximum incentive compensation opportunities are set forth below.

Maximum Incentive Award	Position Title
100%	Chief Investment Officer Executive Director
90%	Deputy Chief Investment Officer
75%	Portfolio Manager (new FTE’s) Chief Risk Officer Senior Investment Officer
60%	Chief Financial Officer/ Chief Operating Officer
50%	Investment Officer Risk Officer Accounting Manager
25%	Sr. Investment Accountant Investment Accountant

Step 2: Calculate the Maximum Incentive Compensation Opportunity for the Plan

The maximum incentive compensation opportunity for the entire Plan will be calculated by aggregating the maximum incentive compensation opportunities for each Participant.

Step 3: Allocate each Participant’s Maximum Incentive Compensation Opportunity to Performance Components

Each Participant’s maximum incentive compensation opportunity will be weighted or allocated among standalone quantitative and qualitative performance components.

All Roles		
Weight	Performance Component	Allocation Method
80%	Fund VA -3-year rolling	Minimum: (10%) \geq 1 bp Maximum: (100%) 50 bps
20%	Individual Goals	Discretionary

Any proposed changes to incentive compensation weightings will be reviewed and approved by the Executive Director and the ERCC, and approved by the SIB, prior to the beginning of each fiscal year.

Step 4: Calculate the Performance Components

VA Performance Components

The Plan’s quantitative components focus on weighted average of the Legacy Fund, PERS pension fund, TFFR fund, and the WSI fund actual, relative investment performance at Client Fund level compared with SIB-approved benchmarks. Client fund performance is measured on a net of all basis (i.e., net of all direct and indirect costs). Asset Class and Team performance is measured net of direct costs.

The SIB approves an Incentive Compensation Metric (ICM) performance verified by the SIB’s independent Benchmark and Hurdle Rate consultant. The Incentive Compensation Metric represents the amount of outperformance of the applicable benchmark necessary to earn the full incentive compensation opportunity. Performance-award scales are derived from the ICM and define the linkage between RIO’s actual, relative, net investment performance and a corresponding percentage of the maximum incentive compensation opportunity that is earned.

Prior to or near the beginning of each performance year, any requested changes to the ICM(s) or performance-award scales must be submitted, in writing, by the Executive Director to the ERCC and from the ERCC to the SIB for review and approval, and to the Hurdle Rate and Benchmark Consultant for review and verification. There will be a comprehensive review of the ICM(s) up to every three to five years at the discretion of the SIB.

Under RIO Plan:

The percentage of the maximum *quantitative* incentive compensation opportunity earned:

- Equals 0% when performance is at or below benchmark.

- Equals 10% when net performance exceeds the benchmark by one full basis point.
- Increases pro rata, from 10% to 100%, for net performance that is between one full basis point above the benchmark, and the ICM.
- Equals 100% when net performance equals or exceeds the benchmark by the full ICM¹

Portfolio VA

In the first three years of the Plan, the first year Fund VA will be the one year weighted average Fund VA, the second year of the plan will be the two-year compound Fund VA and the third year will be the three-year compound average of the weighted average of the Legacy Fund, the PERS pension fund, TFFR fund, and WSI fund actual, relative investment performance at Client Fund level compared with SIB-approved benchmarks. Thereafter, the weighted average Fund VA applied to all participants is a rolling three-year average of the weighted average of the Legacy Fund, the PERS pension fund, TFFR fund, and WSI fund actual, relative investment performance at Client Fund level compared with SIB-approved benchmarks.

For Participants that join RIO on or after the beginning of the fiscal year, the weighted average Fund VA applied to all participants will be used. The payout will be made pro-rata based on the percentage of plan year time employed that starts with the beginning of the fiscal year on July 1.

For Participants that joined RIO prior to the plan year starting with the beginning of the fiscal year but have been employed for fewer than three years as of the start of the fiscal year, the weighted average Fund VA applied to all participants will be used.

Individual Goals Component

The Individual Goals component is set by the manager of the Participant as part of the performance evaluation process. The final performance evaluation of each Participant is approved by the Executive Director. In addition, such Participants will be evaluated on leadership/behavioral and organizational competence factors. Some factors that may be considered include training and mentoring of staff, contribution to organizational strategic planning, and participation in projects or initiatives to update business and/or analytical processes and tools and the associated technology applications. The Executive Director will determine the amounts awarded for Individual Goals in consultation with managers.

Step 5: Allocate Discretionary Components Among Relevant Participants

Quantitative components are allocated to Participants directly without modification.

Discretionary components for Individual Goals components, will be allocated by the Executive Director.

Step 6: Present Final Award Recommendations to the Board of Trustees

The Executive Director's award recommendations will be made to the ERCC. The ERCC will make a recommendation regarding the incentive compensation awards to the SIB for approval. For all Participants, an incentive compensation award is contingent on approval of the award by the SIB, in its sole discretion.

Step 7: Payment of Awards

Incentive compensation awards will be determined and authorized as soon as practical following the close of each fiscal year, with a target of within the first four months of each fiscal year for the previous fiscal year.

RIO shall be entitled to withhold or deduct, as applicable, from the amount of any payment under this Plan or any other compensation due to the Participant, all federal, state, city and other taxes and all other amounts, as applicable.

¹ ICMs are intended to reflect levels of net performance that are considered top quartile, based upon expected levels of risk and return.

Policy Implemented: May 17, 2024.

Section VI: TFFR Program

Reference: Teachers' Fund for Retirement Program Manual

<https://www.rio.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/PDFs/TFFR/teachersprogrammanual.pdf>

Section VIII: By-Laws

CHAPTER 1 - AUTHORITY

Section 1-1. The State Investment Board (SIB) has the authority to maintain an administrative office under

Chapter 54-52.5, North Dakota Century Code.

Section 1-2. The SIB has the authority and responsibility for providing administrative services to the North Dakota Teachers' Fund for Retirement (TFFR) and the North Dakota State Investment Board. This includes organizing, staffing, and maintaining an administrative office.

Section 1-3. The SIB has the authority and responsibility for developing and monitoring the agency budget. Section 1-4. The SIB has the authority and responsibility to maintain office records, an accounting system, and data processing support services.

Section 1-5. The SIB has the authority to pay all claims and investment expenses filed with TFFR and the SIB.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

CHAPTER 2 - BOARD

Section 2-1 Members of the State Investment Board (SIB) are set forth in Chapter 21-10 of the North Dakota Century Code.

Section 2-1. The SIB will have general charge and management of the business of TFFR and the SIB, subject to law, administrative rules and regulations, and governance policies. The SIB will make such policy as necessary to fulfill this obligation.

Section 2-2. When the statutes allow a Deputy to represent a member of the SIB or an alternate to represent the TFFR or PERS Board, the Chair will recognize the individual for the record, and the individual(s) will then have the right to vote on matters before the SIB.

Section 2-3. The SIB will be responsible for the operation of an administrative office that will provide support services to TFFR and the SIB.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: May 17, 2024.

CHAPTER 3 - OFFICERS AND DUTIES

Section 3-1. The officers of the SIB are a Chair and Vice Chair, one of which must be an appointed or elected member of the TFFR or PERS Board. The officers will be elected by the SIB to a one-year term at the first regularly scheduled meeting following July 1 of each year. Vacancies will be filled by the SIB at the first scheduled meeting following the vacancy.

Section 3-2. Chair. The Chair will preside at all meetings of the SIB.

Section 3-3. Vice Chair. In the absence of the Chair, the Vice Chair will perform the duties of the Chair.

Section 3-4. Executive Director. An Executive Director will be retained by the SIB. The Executive Director will serve at the SIB's pleasure, be responsible for keeping the records of the SIB and TFFR Board actions and perform such duties as the SIB prescribes. The Executive Director will make out and give out all notices required to be given by law, procedures, or rules and regulations of the two boards.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

CHAPTER 4 - MEETINGS

Section 4-1. Regular meetings of the SIB to conduct business are to be held as often as necessary. The SIB will meet at least once each quarter.

Section 4-2. Meetings of the SIB may be called by the Chair or two members of the SIB upon reasonable notice in writing to the other members of the Board. (NDCC 21-10-04)

Section 4-3. A quorum will be seven (7) members of the SIB.

Section 4-4. Voting on matters before the SIB will be contained in the minutes which will show the recorded vote of each SIB member.

Section 4-5. All meetings of the SIB are open to the public, except as allowed under North Dakota law.

Section 4-6. A record of procedures will be kept by the Executive Director on all meetings of the SIB. The records of these proceedings are public documents, and copies will be distributed to the TFFR, SIB, and PERS Boards and upon request.

Section 4-7. Public participation during meetings of the SIB may be allowed at the discretion of the Chair. Section 4-8. SIB members, except elected and appointed officials, will be paid the amount specified in NDCC 21-10-01 per SIB meeting attended.

Expenses will be paid according to state law and OMB policies.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

Amended: July 22, 2011. May17, 2024.

CHAPTER 5 - RULES OF ORDER

Section 6-1. All SIB meetings will be conducted in accordance with Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised except as superseded by these by-laws and board governance policies.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

CHAPTER 6 - ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Section 7-1. For the purpose of carrying out the day-to-day business of TFFR and the SIB, an administrative office will be maintained in Bismarck, North Dakota. This office is called the Retirement and Investment Office (RIO).

Section 7-2. The Executive Director will be the administrator of the office.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.

CHAPTER 7 - AMENDMENTS

Section 8-1. These by-laws may be amended by a two-thirds vote of SIB members. All amendments must be mailed to SIB members at least thirty (30) days prior to the meeting at which they are considered.

Section 8-2. All amendments must include an effective date.

Policy Implemented: June 23, 1995.